Compensation for moral damage for legal persons and factors affecting it

Comparative study
Prepared by
Feras Mahmoud Al shawabkeh

Supervised by Dr.Mohammad Abu Baker Abstract

This study addresses the issue of Compensation for moral damages for legal persons and factors affecting it through a comparative study of several laws and legislation and to highlight the importance of Compensation for moral damages, the conditions and forms thereof, and to distinguish it from material damage.

The study also addresses the extent of the legal person's entitlement to Compensation for moral damages , the factors affecting this , Additionally , a study of the criteria , bases and factors affecting the assessment of compensation and the party and type is presented .

This study finds that jurisprudence and precedent have given the legal person the right to Compensation for moral damages , similarly to a natural person and this is confirmed by legal scholars in addition to the legal opinion stemming from Islamic jurisprudence . This is what came in the provisions of comparative laws , including the Jordanian , French , Egyptian and Iraqi laws , for example article (256) of the Jordanian civil code clearly stipulates that " every injurious act to others shall render its perpetration liable for damages even if he is a non-discerning person".

However, the problem is that the judicial bodies in the Jordan, Egypt and Iraq have not recognized this and have rejected awarding moral damages to legal persons, relying on general legal provisions. In other words, while Egyptian, Iraqi and Jordanian legislation allowed legal persons the right to compensation for moral damage, the judiciaries in these countries have failed to apply this legislation.

The study concludes that moral damage is the result of the infringement of an intangible and can be traced back to certain situations , affecting honor , dignity , reputation and status.

Compensation for moral damage to the legal person and the implementation of the provisions related thereto is the highest form of justice and is deters any person/entity from committing actions in contravention of the provisions. It additionally highlights the judiciary's implementation of these provisions and the strength of the executive agencies in enforcing the judgments of the judiciary.