

**The procedural provisions for answer Regulations and their Response in front
of the Jordanians Administrative Judiciary
(analytical comparative study)**

By

Jumana Mohammad Khamis Muhsin

Supervisor

Dr.Mohammad Al-Majali

Abstract

This study aimed to clarify the concept of a defendant plea, its' rule and the legislative purpose of its mandate as well as the legal framework and validity based on its' layout and timing, in addition to, declaring the outcomes of the defendant plea submission.

The researcher has used the descriptive methodology in dealing with some of the expressions related to the study topic, also used the analytical methodology in order to disseminate the legislative statements organizing the rules exchange, the researcher has also used the comparative methodology in order to explain and distinguish between some legal rules, and enlisted some of the legislative judgments related to the study.

The study has concluded a number of results, most significantly are:

The Administrative Judicial Law requires a suit to be raise a certain layout, manifested in enlisting its' documentation and the outline of a number of spacing information statements within the presented plea. It is also required that the plea should be presented by the defendant and answered by the plaintiff during the time frame instructed by the legislator to avoid any penal procedures resulted from not presenting it. The researcher recommended that the Jordanian legislator should

practice in fairness and justice in penalties imposed on the defendant or plaintiff if any has failed to present a plea.

Finally, the study recommended that the administrative legislator should follow the steps of his civil counterpart regarding the option aspect of the defendant plea and that its' presentation to the law panel should be mandatory.

Key words: Defendant Plea, Imposed Procedures, Administrative Judicial Law.