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# 1 Financial Statements - Income Statement and Balance Sheet 

### 1.1 Introduction

In all businesses, financial statements are important for reflecting the financial health of a company. The construction industry is without exception. Among various financial statements, two of them are the most important ones. They are (1) Income Statement (or called Profit \& Loss Account) and (2) Balance Sheet. In this chapter, these two important financial statements will be discussed in detail. First, we will look at an income statement. Second, we will see the use of a balance sheet. Third, we will see other important items that are closely related to these two financial statements.

### 1.2 Income Statement

An 'income statement' shows the profit made or the loss incurred by a company in a period of time (usually one year), and that is why it is sometimes also called a 'profit and loss account'. In an income statement, usually two columns of figures are shown for comparison purpose, one column showing the figures of the most recent year and the other column showing that of the year before. An example of income statement is shown in Table 1.1 (see next page). It shows a company's revenue, costs and other expenses including the interest payment on loan (if there is a loan borrowed by the company) and the income tax paid. The purpose of the income statement is to show whether or not a company's business is profitable.

The first row of the income statement shown in Table 1.1 is Revenue (some people may use the terms such as Sales or Income). It represents the amount of money the company receives before (or without) deducting any expenditures related to the company's revenue. The second row is Cost of Revenue. It is the direct construction/production cost etc. which the construction company has incurred in order to earn the Revenue. We obtain Gross Profit by deducting the Cost of Revenue from the Revenue. Operating Expenses usually consist of Variable Overhead (e.g. advertising, plant, equipment, vehicles, etc.) and Fixed Overhead (e.g. depreciation, rent, salaries, insurance, etc.), a more detailed example of which will be given in Table 2.1 of Chapter 2. After deducting the Operating Expenses from the Gross Profit, we obtain Operating Profit.

The Interest Expense shown under Other Income/Expense in Table 1.1 is the interest payment on loan if a loan is borrowed by the company. Since interest on loan is tax deductible so it must be deducted in order to obtain the Net Profit before Tax, which is calculated by deducting the Total Other Income/ Expense from the Operating Profit. The amount of income tax payable is then calculated based on the tax rate. By deducting this calculated Tax Expense from the Net Profit before Tax, we obtain Net Profit after Tax. This figure indicates whether or not the construction company's business is profitable.

| Income Statement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Period (one year) ended on |  |
|  | 31/12/2012 | 31/12/2011 |
| Revenue | 40,185,000 | 38,483,000 |
| Cost of Revenue |  |  |
| Materials | 13,000,000 | 12,500,000 |
| Labour | 5,500,000 | 5,400,000 |
| Subcontracts | 12,500,000 | 12,000,000 |
| Other direct costs | 1,087,000 | 1,085,000 |
| Total Cost of Revenue | 32,087,000 | 30,985,000 |
| Gross Profit | 8,098,000 | 7,498,000 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |
| Variable overhead | 2,036,500 | 1,943,500 |
| Fixed overhead | 3,358,500 | 2,979,500 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 5,395,000 | 4,923,000 |
| Operating Profit | 2,703,000 | 2,575,000 |
| Other Income/Expense |  |  |
| Gain/loss on sale of assets | 30,000 | $(38,000)$ |
| Miscellaneous income/expense | $(5,500)$ | 4,000 |
| Interest income | 19,000 | 12,900 |
| Interest expense | $(42,500)$ | $(41,000)$ |
| Total Other Income/Expense | 1,000 | $(62,100)$ |
| Net Profit before Tax | 2,704,000 | 2,512,900 |
| Tax Expense ( $25 \%$ tax rate) | 676,000 | 628,225 |
| Net Profit after Tax | 2,028,000 | 1,884,675 |

Table 1.1 - Example of Income Statement

### 1.3 Balance Sheet

A balance sheet shows a company's financial position as at a point of time (usually the last date of the company's fiscal year), like a snap shot picture of the company's financial situation at that particular time point. An example of balance sheet of a construction company is shown in Table 1.2 (see next page). There are three major items in a balance sheet: (1) Assets, (2) Liabilities, and (3) Equity (or called Net Worth). These will be explained in the following sub-sections.

### 1.3.1 Assets

Assets are classified into two categories: (1) Current Assets and (2) Fixed Assets. They represent what a company owns, and are usually presented at the top (first part) of a balance sheet. Current Assets of a construction company are usually cash, accounts receivable, construction material inventory and so on which have high liquidity (i.e. can be turned into cash easily). Fixed Assets of a construction company are usually property and equipment, construction plant, trucks and so on which cannot be readily turned into cash in a short time. Fixed Assets are also called Long-term Assets. When Current Assets and Fixed Assets are added together, the sum is called Total Assets.

### 1.3.2 Liabilities

When a construction company owes obligations to some third parties, we call these obligations Liabilities. Liabilities are usually presented at the middle part of a balance sheet. They are classified into (1) Current Liabilities and (2) Long-term Liabilities. Current Liabilities of a construction company include bank overdraft and short term bank loan, accounts payable to subcontractors, suppliers and employers, rents, utilities and so on. They are debts the company has to pay, say, within a year. Long-term Liabilities, however, are obligations with a period more than one year, usually a few years or even longer. They often refer to long term bank loans or loans for mortgages of equipment, building, land, or even cars/ trucks. These long-term debts are usually repaid by installments. When Current Liabilities and Longterm Liabilities are added together, the sum is called Total Liabilities.

### 1.3.3 Equity

Equity is the capital invested by the owner(s) of a company. Because some construction companies are owned by stockholders, so Equity is sometimes also referred to as Stockholders' Equity. Another name for it is 'Net Worth'. Equity represents the Net Worth of the business, and can be calculated by summing up the capital the owners have invested and the profits that have been accumulated (after deducting all the dividends paid so far to the owners) and retained up to the present moment since the business began (see Table 7.12 of Chapter 7).

| Balance Sheet |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As at |  |
|  | 31/12/2012 | 31/12/2011 |
| Assets |  |  |
| Current Assets |  |  |
| Cash | 2,589,000 | 1,967,890 |
| Accounts receivable | 5,767,000 | 5,403,670 |
| Retention money | 1,641,750 | 1,350,918 |
| Material Inventory | 850,000 | 520,000 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on work in progress | 547,250 | 450,306 |
| Prepaid expenses and others | 894,500 | 983,944 |
| Total Current Assets | 12,289,500 | 10,676,728 |
| Fixed assets |  |  |
| Property and equipment | 15,536,900 | 13,800,000 |
| Construction plant | 2,680,040 | 2,039,480 |
| Vehicles/Trucks | 2,070,000 | 1,812,000 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 345,000 | 379,000 |
| Total depreciable assets | 20,631,940 | 18,030,480 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 12,529,373 | 11,158,000 |
| Net Fixed Assets | 8,102,567 | 6,872,480 |
| Total Assets | 20,392,067 | 17,549,208 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts payable | 4,325,250 | 4,773,240 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,586,037 | 1,475,918 |
| Notes payable | 647,250 | 491,973 |
| Retention money payable | 919,380 | 756,514 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on work in progress | 617,205 | 678,922 |
| Other current liabilities | 355,713 | 292,699 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 8,450,835 | 8,469,266 |
| Long-term Liabilities | 3,528,557 | 3,695,267 |
| Total Liabilities | 11,979,392 | 12,164,533 |
| Equity (i.e. Net Worth) |  |  |
| Capital stock | 3,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Retained earnings | 3,912,675 | 1,884,675 |
| Total Equity | 8,412,675 | 5,384,675 |
| Equity + Total Liabilities | 20,392,067 | 17,549,208 |
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The following is a very important mathematical relationship:
Equity + Total Liabilities = Total Assets
(Net Worth)

Equation 1.1 is also called "The Accounting Equation". Readers can find that the last row of Table 1.2 is equal to the Total Assets' row at the middle of the balance sheet. The name, Balance Sheet, is in fact derived from this fact - they must be in balance.

### 1.4 Working Capital and Current Ratio

### 1.4.1 Working Capital

The difference of Current Assets and Current Liabilities is called Working Capital. So, it can be mathematically presented as:
Working Capital = Current Assets - Current Liabilities

In our example (Table 1.2), the working capital is $\$ 2,207,462$ (i.e. $\$ 10,676,728-\$ 8,469,266$ ) as at 31 Dec 2011, and is $\$ 3,838,665$ (i.e. $\$ 12,289,500-\$ 8,450,835$ ) as at 31 Dec 2012.

The amount of working capital in hand is a measure of the short term financial strength of a construction company. It is because the liquidity (see section 1.3.1) of working capital is high. Current assets are used to pay current liabilities, and therefore it is important to know how much current assets exceed current liabilities, which is told by the amount of working capital. Working capital increases when a company makes a profit on a project, sells equipment or other assets, or has a long term loan from a bank. A long term bank loan can increase current (short term) assets, but at the same time increases long term liabilities. However, construction companies usually do not easily resort to selling equipment or borrowing long term loans. Working capital decreases when a company loses money on a project, or when it purchases equipment, or makes repayments on long term loans. Construction companies are, however, eager to purchase equipment or to pay off loans.

Empirically, it has been found that the volume of unfinished work of all projects in hand should be at most about ten times the working capital for a construction company. If there is a big project among the projects in hand, the unfinished volume of work of this big project should not be more than five times the working capital. These are to ensure that the company may maintain a healthy financial condition.

### 1.4.2 Current Ratio

Besides the working capital, we also use a ratio for identifying a construction company's liquidity (or its ability to fulfill short term financial obligations). The ratio is called Current Ratio and is defined as follows:
Current Ratio = Current Assets / Current Liabilities

[^1]In our example (Table 1.2), the current ratio is 1.26 (i.e. $\$ 10,676,728 / \$ 8,469,266$ ) as at 31 Dec 2011, and is 1.45 (i.e. $\$ 12,289,500 / \$ 8,450,835$ ) as at 31 Dec 2012.

The current ratio for a construction company should be 1.3 or higher. This is obtained by experience over a long period of time. In our example, the financial health of the company was a little unsatisfactory in 2011, because the current ratio was 1.26 , a little lower than 1.3 . However, the financial situation became more healthy in 2012, because the current ratio increased to 1.45 , higher than 1.3 .

In financial management, there are many ratios other than the current ratio. We will look at them, particularly those related to construction, in Chapter 2.

### 1.5 Under Billing and Over Billing

Under billing is expressed in the balance sheet as "Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on work in progress" under Current Assets (see Table 1.2). Over billing is expressed as "Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on work in progress" under Current Liabilities. Let us look at an example of what they are and how they are evaluated.

A construction company has the following project financial data:

- Contract sum
\$8,000,000
- Billed to date
$\$ 4,700,000$
- Cost incurred (i.e. cost of revenue) to date
\$3,700,000
- Estimated cost to complete
$\$ 3,000,000$
Up to the present moment, the percentage of completion
$=[$ Cost incurred to date $/($ Cost incurred to date + Estimated cost to complete $)] \times 100 \%$
$=[\$ 3,700,000 /(\$ 3,700,000+\$ 3,000,000)] \times 100 \%$
$=55.22 \%$

Revenue to date $=($ Contract sum $) \times(\%$ of completion $)$
$=\$ 8,000,000 \times 55.22 \%=\$ 4,417,600$

Gross Profit to date $=$ Revenue to date - Cost of Revenue to date
$=\$ 4,417,600-\$ 3,700,000=\$ 717,600$

Over billing $=$ Billed to date - Revenue to date
$=\$ 4,700,000-\$ 4,417,600=\$ 282,400$

If over billing is a negative value, then it is called under billing. Hence,

$$
\text { Under billing }=\text { Revenue to date }- \text { Billed to date }
$$

Over billing means that the construction company is borrowing money from the client by billing the latter an amount of revenue more than what the company has actually done. This does not mean that the total (final) revenue is to be billed wrongly, but is just the case that more money is received at an earlier stage of the work. On the contrary, under billing means that the company is allowing the client to borrow money from it, because it has incurred cost for doing work but without appropriately billed for the work.

There is an interesting point to note in the above example. The difference of the 'billed to date' and 'cost incurred to date' is $\$ 1,000,000$ (i.e. $\$ 4,700,000-\$ 3,700,000$ ). This $\$ 1,000,000$ is the gross profit obtained based on the so called 'Straight Accrual Method'. (This method of calculating gross profit is rarely used in construction). The true gross profit (calculated by the Percentage of Completion Method shown just earlier) is $\$ 717,600$, however. $\$ 1,000,000-\$ 717,600=\$ 282,400$, and it can be seen that $\$ 282,400$ is the over billing. In other words, the construction company billed the client for $\$ 4,700,000$. It also incurred $\$ 3,700,000$ as the 'cost of revenue', leaving it with $\$ 1,000,000$ in hand. A part of this $\$ 1,000,000$ is the true gross profit $(\$ 717,600)$, which belongs to the construction company, and the remaining part is over billing ( $\$ 282,400$ ), which belongs to the client.
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A project can either be over billed or under billed and cannot be both. The above is an example of one project. A construction company usually has a number of projects in its hand at the same time. Some of the projects may be under billed and some may be over billed. Therefore, we can see that both under billing (current assets) and over billing (current liabilities) appear on the balance sheet.

### 1.6 Worked Examples

In this last section of Chapter 1, worked examples on the topics that have been discussed in this chapter are to be illustrated. There are eight short worked examples. It is hoped that readers will find them useful.

### 1.6.1 Worked Example 1

At the end of the fiscal year 2012, ABC Construction Co. has the following financial profile:

| Total assets | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total liabilities | $\$ 700,000$ |
| Gross profit | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Total operating expenses | $\$ 210,000$ |

a) What is the total net worth of this company?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Net worth }=\text { Equity }(\text { Owner's capital }) & =\text { Total assets }- \text { Total liabilities } \\
& =\$ 1,000,000-\$ 700,000=\$ \mathbf{3 0 0 , 0 0 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) What is the Net profit after tax? (Assume a $25 \%$ tax rate)

Net profit before tax $=$ Gross profit - Total operating expenses
$=\$ 250,000-\$ 210,000=\$ 40,000$
Net profit after tax $=\$ 40,000-\$ 10,000=\$ 30,000$

### 1.6.2 Worked Example 2

The following balance sheet figures are of EFG Construction Company's:

|  | Current | Long-term | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assets | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 230,000$ |
| Liabilities | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | $\$ 180,000$ |

Find the total net worth and working capital of the company.

Net worth (or Equity) = Total assets - Total liabilities
$=\$ 230,000-\$ 180,000=\$ 50,000$
Working capital $=$ Current assets - Current liabilities
$=\$ 120,000-\$ 100,000=\$ 20,000$

### 1.6.3 Worked Example 3

The following data regarding HJK Construction Company are:

| Current assets | $\$ 450,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current liabilities | $\$ 350,000$ |
| Long-term liabilities | $\$ 640,000$ |
| Net worth | $\$ 340,000$ |

a) What is the value of the company's fixed assets?

Net worth + Total liabilities $=$ Total assets
$\$ 340,000+(\$ 350,000+\$ 640,000)=(\$ 450,000+$ Fixed assets $)$
Fixed assets $=\$ 340,000+\$ 350,000+\$ 640,000-\$ 450,000=\$ \mathbf{8 8 0}, 000$
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b) What are the working capital and current ratio?

Working capital $=$ Current assets - Current liabilities
$=\$ 450,000-\$ 350,000=\$ \mathbf{1 0 0}, \mathbf{0 0 0}$
Current ratio $=$ Current assets / Current liabilities
$=\$ 450,000 / \$ 350,000=1.29$

### 1.6.4 Worked Example 4

The founders of HJK construction company invested a total of $\$ 400,000$ upon formation of the company two years ago. No dividends have been paid. Now the company has total assets of $\$ 170,000$, current assets of $\$ 80,000$, current liabilities of $\$ 95,000$, and long-term liabilities of $\$ 50,000$. Do you think that the company has been successful during its first two years of operations?

Before the start of the company, Equity = \$400,000
After two years, Fixed assets $=$ Total assets - Current assets $=\$ 170,000-\$ 80,000=\$ 90,000$,
And, Equity $=($ Current Assets + Fixed Assets) $-($ Current Liabilities + Long term Liabilities)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\$ 80,000+\$ 90,000)-(\$ 95,000+\$ 50,000) \\
& =\$ 25,000
\end{aligned}
$$

It shows that the company's Equity has decreased from $\$ 400,000$ to $\$ 25,000$ in two years which means a severe loss in these two years.

### 1.6.5 Worked Example 5

The working capital of LMN Construction Company at 31 Dec 20012 is $\$ 70,000$. Current assets are $\$ 120,000$, total assets are $\$ 250,000$, and the company owes no long-term liabilities. What is the balance of owner's equity at 31 Dec 2012?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { As we know: Working capital } & =\text { Current assets }- \text { Current liabilities } \\
\$ 70,000 & =\$ 120,000-\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{X} & =\text { Current liabilities }=\$ 50,000 \\
\text { And since: Owner's equity } & =\text { Total assets }- \text { Total liabilities } \\
& =\$ 250,000-(\$ 50,000+0) \text { as long-term liabilities }=0 \\
& =\$ \mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.6.6 Worked Example 6

PQR Construction Co. expanded rapidly during 2012 by investing in new plant and equipment and other inventory. As a result, total assets increased from $\$ 400,000$ at the beginning of 2012 to $\$ 700,000$ at the end of 2012. The owner's equity at the beginning of the year was $\$ 250,000$ and the company's net profit for the year was $\$ 50,000$. Assuming the company paid no dividends during 2012,
a) what were the company's total liabilities at the beginning and at the end of the year if there is no change in capital stock of the company during 2012?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { At the beginning of the year, Assets }=\text { Liabilities + Equity } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\$ 400,000 & =Y+\$ 250,000 \\
\text { Total liabilities } & =Y=\$ 150,000 \\
\text { At the end of the year, } \$ 700,000 & =Z+(\$ 250,000+\$ 50,000)
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { Total Liabilities }=Z=\$ 700,000-\$ 300,000=\$ \mathbf{4 0 0 , 0 0 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) what were the company's total liabilities at the end of 2012 if it received \$200,000 in 2012 from the sale of additional capital stock?

Though the company received $\$ 200,000$ during 2012 from the sale of additional capital stock, Equity as well as Assets increased in the same amount, and hence Liabilities remain the same which is $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$.

As at 31 Dec 2012, Assets $=$ Liabilities + Equity
i.e. $(\$ 700,000+\$ 200,000)=\$ 400,000+(\$ 300,000+\$ 200,000)$

$$
\text { Or } \$ 900,000=\$ 400,000+\$ 500,000
$$

### 1.6.7 Worked Example 7

The management of TUV Construction Company wishes to maintain a current ratio of 2 by reducing its short-term borrowing so as to achieve this result. The company's cash balance is currently $\$ 40,000$. Accounts payable are equal to $\$ 55,000$ and the company is now having a short-term borrowing of $\$ 35,000$ from a bank. Inventory totals $\$ 95,000$ and accounts receivable total $\$ 35,000$. The current asset accounts consist of only cash, inventory, and accounts receivable; and the current liability accounts consist of only accounts payable and short-term bank loan payable. How much of its short-term bank loan should the company repay?

The problem can be presented by a table more clearly as follows:

| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cash | $\$ 40.000$ | Accounts Payable | $\$ 55,000$ |
| Inventory | $\$ 95,000$ | Short term bank loan | $\$ 35,000$ |
| Accounts Receivable | $\$ 35,000$ |  |  |

As the company wishes to maintain a current ratio of 2 , it needs to pay back $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ to maintain such a ratio.

If the company uses $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ cash under current assets to pay back the short term bank loan under current liabilities, the short term bank loan will be $\$ 25,000$ (i.e. $\$ 35,000-\$ 10,000$ ).
Current liabilities $=\$ 55,000+\$ 25,000=\$ 80,000$
Current assets $=\$ 30,000+\$ 95,000+\$ 35,000=\$ 160,000$
Current ratio $=$ Current assets $/$ Current liabilities $=\$ 160,000 / \$ 80,000=2$

### 1.6.8 Worked Example 8

The following data are related to the operations of XYZ Construction Co. for 2012.

|  | Total contract <br> sum | Total <br> estimated <br> costs | Cumulative <br> costs incurred | Current year's <br> costs | Cumulative <br> contract <br> billings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Completed <br> contracts | $\$ 1,100,000$ | $\$ 900,000$ | $\$ 900,000$ | $\$ 360,000$ | $\$ 1,100,000$ |
| Contracts in <br> progress | $\$ 5,400,000$ | $\$ 4,500,000$ | $\$ 3,150,000$ | $\$ 1,850,000$ | $\$ 3,500,000$ |

a) Determine the value of "costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on works in progress" under the current asset account. (This is also called 'under billings')

Percentage of completion on contracts in progress
$=($ Cumulative costs incurred $/$ Total estimated costs $) \times 100 \%$
$=(\$ 3,150,000 / \$ 4,500,000) \times 100 \%=70 \%$
Revenue on contracts in progress $=($ Total contract sum $) \times($ Percentage of completion $)$
$=\$ 5,400,000 \times 70 \%=\$ 3,780,000$
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on works in progress (or under billings)
$=$ (Revenue on contracts in progress) - (Cumulative contract billings)
$=\$ 3,780,000-\$ 3,500,000=\$ \mathbf{2 8 0 , 0 0 0}$
b) Compute the gross profit of the company applying the percentage-of-completion method.

Percentage of completion on current year's completed contracts
$=(\$ 360,000 / \$ 900,000) \times 100 \%=40 \%$
Revenue on current year's completed contracts
$=\$ 1,100,000 \times 40 \%=\$ 440,000$
Percentage of completion on current year's contracts in progress
$=(\$ 1,850,000 / \$ 4,500,000) \times 100 \%=41.11 \%$
Revenue on current year's contracts in progress
$=\$ 5,400,000 \times 41.11 \%=\$ 2,220,000$
Total revenue $=\$ 440,000+\$ 2,220,000=\$ 2,660,000$
Total current year's costs incurred $=\$ 360,000+\$ 1,850,000=\$ 2,210,000$
Gross profit of the company $=\$ 2,660,000-\$ 2,210,000=\$ 450,000$
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## 2 Financial Statement Analysis of a Construction Company

### 2.1 Analysis of Financial Statements by Financial Ratios

In financial statement analysis, Financial Ratios help a lot in indicating the financial health of a construction company. In this chapter, we are going to discuss these ratios first, and then to have a case study to see how we may use them to help management decisions.

The financial ratios relevant to the construction industry can be classified into five categories. They are (1) Profitability Ratios, (2) Liquidity Ratios, (3) Working Capital Ratios, (4) Capital Structure Ratios, and (5) Activity Ratios. These ratios will be discussed in detail in Section 2.2.

### 2.2 Five Categories of Financial Ratios

In this section, we have to refer to Tables 1.1 and 1.2 of Chapter 1 . The explanation of the financial ratios below will be based on these two tables - Income Statement and Balance Sheet (for 2012 only) - shown in Chapter 1.

# "I studied English for 16 years but... <br> ..I finally learned to speak it in just six lessons" Jane, Chinese architect ust 
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### 2.2.1 Profitability Ratios

Profitability ratios measure the construction company's ability to earn profit from its operation. The three most commonly used profitability ratios are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Gross Profit Margin Ratio } & =\text { Gross profit } / \text { Revenue } \\
& =8,098,000 / 40,185,000=20.15 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

(The goal for net profit margin ratio is $25 \%$ minimum; if subcontractors (pay-as-paid basis) occupy a significant portion of the cost of revenue, the goal can be reduced to $20 \%$ minimum)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Net Profit Margin Ratio } & =\text { Net profit before tax / Revenue } \\
& =2,704,000 / 40,185,000=6.73 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

(The goal for net profit margin ratio is $5 \%$ minimum)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Return on Equity Ratio } & =\text { Net profit before tax / Owners' equity } \\
& =2,704,000 / 8,412,675=32.14 \%
\end{array}
$$

(The return on equity ratio should be between $15 \%$ and $40 \%$ )

### 2.2.2 Liquidity Ratios

Liquidity ratios indicate the construction company's ability to pay its obligations as they come due. The three most common liquidity ratios used are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Current Ratio } & =\text { Current assets } / \text { Current liabilities } \\
& =12,289,500 / 8,450,835=1.45
\end{aligned}
$$

(The current ratio should be higher than 1.3 for a financially healthy construction company)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Acid Test Ratio }(\text { or Quick Ratio }) & =(\text { Cash }+ \text { Accounts receivables }) / \text { Current liabilities } \\
& =(2,589,000+5,767,000) / 8,450,835=0.99
\end{aligned}
$$

(The acid test ratio or quick ratio should be higher than 1.1 for a construction company)

Current Assets to Total Assets Ratio $=$ Current assets / Total assets

$$
=12,289,500 / 20,392,067=60.27 \%
$$

(The current assets to total assets ratio should be between $60 \%$ and $80 \%$ )

### 2.2.3 Working Capital Ratios

These ratios measure how well the construction company is utilizing its working capital. The three most commonly used working capital ratios are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Working Capital Turnover } & =\text { Revenue } / \text { Working capital } \\
& =40,185,000 /(12,289,500-8,450,835)=10.47 \text { times }
\end{aligned}
$$

(The working capital turnover should be between 8 and 12 times per year)

Net Profit to Working Capital Ratio $=$ Net profit before tax / Working capital

$$
=2,704,000 /(12,289,500-8,450,835)=70.44 \%
$$

(The net profit to working capital ratio should be between $40 \%$ and 60\%)

Degree of Fixed Asset Newness = Net depreciable fixed assets / Total depreciable fixed assets

$$
=8,102,567 / 20,631,940=39.27 \%
$$

(The degree of fixed asset newness should be between $40 \%$ and 60\%)

### 2.2.4 Capital Structure Ratios

Capital structure ratios indicate the ability of the construction company to manage liabilities. These ratios also indicate the approach that the company prefers to finance its operation. The two major capital structure ratios are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Debt to Equity Ratio } & =\text { Total liabilities } / \text { Owners' equity } \\
& =11,979,392 / 8,412,675=1.42
\end{aligned}
$$

(The debt to equity ratio should be lower than 2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Leverage } & =\text { Total assets / Owners' equity } \\
& =20,392,067 / 8,412,675=2.42
\end{aligned}
$$

Or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Leverage } & =\text { Total assets / Owners' equity } \\
& =(\text { Total liabilities }+ \text { Owners' equity }) / \text { Owners' equity } \\
& =(\text { Total liabilities } / \text { Owners equity })+1 \\
& =\text { Debt to Equity Ratio }+1 \\
& =1.42+1=2.42
\end{aligned}
$$

(The leverage should be lower than 3.5. Some construction companies prefer to use leverage of 3.5 or close to it but some conservative ones prefer to use a lower leverage. This relates to, of course, the use of a higher or lower debt to equity ratio by the company.)

### 2.2.5 Activity Ratios

Activity ratios indicate whether or not the construction company is using its assets effectively, and if yes, how effective they are. There are quite a number of activity ratios, and the seven commonly used ones are shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Average Age of Material Inventory } & =(\text { Material inventory } / \text { Materials cost }) \times 365 \text { days } \\
& =(850,000 / 13,000,000) \times 365=23.87 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

(The average age of material inventory should be shorter than 30 days)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Average Age of Under Billings } & =(\text { Under billings } / \text { Revenue }) \times 365 \mathrm{~d} \\
& =(547,250 / 40,185,000) \times 365=4.97 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

(The average age of under billings should be the shorter the better)


$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Average Age of Accounts Receivable } & =(\text { Accounts receivable } / \text { Revenue }) \times 365 \mathrm{~d} \\
& =(5,767,000 / 40,185,000) \times 365=52.38 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

(The average age of accounts receivable should be shorter than 45 days)

Cash Conversion Period = Average age of material inventory + Average age of under billings + Average age of accounts receivable

$$
=23.87+4.97+52.38=81.22 \text { days }
$$

(The cash conversion period should be shorter than 75 days)

Average Age of Accounts Payable $=[$ Accounts payable $/($ Materials + Subcontracts $)] \times 365 \mathrm{~d}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[4,325,250 /(13,000,000+12,500,000)] \times 365 \\
& =61.91 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

(The average age of accounts payable should be shorter than 45 days)

Average Age of Over Billings $=($ Over billings $/$ Revenue $) \times 365 \mathrm{~d}$

$$
=(617,205 / 40,185,02 \mathrm{gl00}) \times 365=5.61 \text { days }
$$

(Usually there is no guideline on average age of over billings)

Cash Demand Period = Cash conversion period - Average age of accounts payable

- Average age of over-billings

$$
=81.22-61.91-5.61=13.70 \text { days }
$$

(The cash demand period should be shorter than 30 days)

Financial statement analysis involves the analyses of all the above ratios. We are going to have a case study in Section 2.3. We will see how we can use these ratios to analyze the financial health of a construction company by reviewing its income statement and balance sheet.

### 2.2 A Case Study

First of all, the income statement and the balance sheet of the construction company under study are shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2 respectively. The general manager of the company recently resigned. A new one has just been hired. The new general manager is reviewing the financial statements and hopes to find out the goods and the bads of the company and hence find ways to make improvement.

1. At the very first glance of the Income Statement, the new general manager notices that the revenue has increased by 6,174,101 from 34,701,250 in 2011 to 40,875,351 in 2012. The increment is about $17.79 \%$. So, he is wondering if it a very positive sign. After second thought, he reminds himself that this is only a preliminary analysis. Although it is correct to look at the trend of the revenue as a first step, he can only say that it might be a good sign but is too early to say that it is a very positive sign. Perhaps it is too early to be too happy about it. Further investigation on the financial statements is certainly necessary.

Income Statement

|  | 31/12/2012 | 31/12/2011 | Vertical Analysis |  | Horizontal Analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% | \% | \$ | \% |
|  |  |  | 31/12/2012 | 31/12/2011 | 31/12/2012 |  |
| Revenue | 40,875,351 | 34,701,250 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 6,174,101 | 17.79\% |
| Cost of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Materials | 20,732,506 | 15,925,567 | 50.72\% | 45.89\% | 4,806,939 | 30.18\% |
| Labour | 3,317,123 | 3,307,879 | 8.12\% | 9.53\% | 9,244 | 0.28\% |
| Subcontracts | 6,417,407 | 4,721,312 | 15.70\% | 13.61\% | 1,696,095 | 35.92\% |
| Other direct costs | 487,059 | 426,885 | 1.19\% | 1.23\% | 60,174 | 14.10\% |
| Total Cost of Revenue | 30,954,095 | 24,381,644 | 75.73\% | 70.26\% | 6,572,452 | 26.96\% |
| Gross Profit | 9,921,256 | 10,319,606 | 24.27\% | 29.74\% | $(398,350)$ | -3.86\% |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising | 898,398 | 826,927 | 2.20\% | 2.38\% | 71,471 | 8.64\% |
| Truck, vehicle and equipment | 1,164,800 | 864,500 | 2.85\% | 2.49\% | 300,300 | 34.74\% |
| Insurance, workers' compensation | 429,958 | 319,058 | 1.05\% | 0.92\% | 110,900 | 34.76\% |
| Travel and entertainment | 450,893 | 184,606 | 1.10\% | 0.53\% | 266,287 | 144.25\% |
| Bad debts | 165,935 | 98,706 | 0.41\% | 0.28\% | 67,229 | 68.11\% |
| Miscellaneous | 42,985 | 46,197 | 0.11\% | 0.13\% | $(3,212)$ | -6.95\% |
| Total Variable overhead | 3,152,968 | 2,339,994 | 7.71\% | 6.74\% | 812,975 | 34.74\% |
| Fixed overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 555,220 | 560,500 | 1.36\% | 1.62\% | $(5,280)$ | -0.94\% |
| Rent | 165,000 | 140,000 | 0.40\% | 0.40\% | 25,000 | 17.86\% |
| Salaries | 3,760,500 | 3,555,200 | 9.20\% | 10.25\% | 205,300 | 5.77\% |
| Legal and audit | 101,997 | 83,542 | 0.25\% | 0.24\% | 18,455 | 22.09\% |
| Maintenance and repair | 176,598 | 96,960 | 0.43\% | 0.28\% | 79,638 | 82.13\% |
| Office Supplies | 158,221 | 132,982 | 0.39\% | 0.38\% | 25,239 | 18.98\% |
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| Utilities | 210,950 | 135,800 | 0.52\% | 0.39\% | 75,150 | 55.34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous | 54,872 | 50,699 | 0.13\% | 0.15\% | 4,173 | 8.23\% |
| Total Fixed overhead | 5,183,358 | 4,755,683 | 12.68\% | 13.70\% | 427,675 | 8.99\% |
| Total Operating Expenses | 8,336,326 | 7,095,677 | 20.39\% | 20.45\% | 1,240,650 | 17.48\% |
| Operating Profit | 1,584,930 | 3,223,930 | 3.88\% | 9.29\% | $(1,639,000)$ | -50.84\% |
| Other Income/Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | 46,000 | 37,550 | 0.11\% | 0.11\% | 8,450 | 22.50\% |
| Interest expense | $(297,490)$ | $(446,750)$ | 0.73\% | 1.29\% | $(149,260)$ | -33.41\% |
| Net Profit before Tax | 1,333,440 | 2,814,730 | 3.26\% | 8.11\% | $(1,481,290)$ | -52.63\% |
| Tax Expense (25\% tax rate) | 333,360 | 703,682 |  |  |  |  |
| Net Profit after Tax | 1,000,080 | 2,111,047 | 2.45\% | 6.08\% | $(1,110,967)$ | -52.63\% |

Table 2.1 - Income Statement for the Case Study

## Note:

Vertical analysis - the percentages of all items in the income statement are calculated based on the Revenue of the same year (either 2011 or 2012)

Horizontal analysis - a comparison between the two years (2011 and 2012)
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Balance Sheet
$31 / 12 / 2012 \quad 31 / 12 / 2011$
Assets
Current Assets

| Cash | $2,305,078$ | $1,877,676$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Accounts receivable | $6,124,992$ | $5,837,658$ |
| Retention money | $1,743,663$ | $1,659,415$ |
| Material Inventory | 942,765 | 761,763 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on work in progress | 581,221 | 486,472 |
| Prepaid expenses and others | $1,000,026$ | $1,062,968$ |
| (otal Current Assets | $12,697,745$ | $11,685,952$ |
| ixed Assets |  |  |
| Property and equipment | $2,655,000$ | $2,580,000$ |
| Construction plant | 806,200 | 800,000 |
| Vehicles/Trucks | 414,500 | 310,000 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 69,560 | 60,100 |
| Total depreciable assets | $3,945,260$ | $3,750,100$ |
| $\quad$ Less accumulated depreciation | $2,051,900$ | $1,663,400$ |
| Net Fixed Assets | $1,893,360$ | $2,086,700$ |
| Total Assets | $14,591,105$ | $13,772,652$ |

Liabilities
Current Liabilities
Accounts payable
Accrued expenses
Notes payable
Retention money payable

| $3,930,309$ | $3,481,330$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,441,215$ | $1,076,450$ |
| 588,149 | 358,817 |
| 835,495 | 551,763 |
| 560,847 | 495,167 |
| 323,232 | 213,478 |
| $7,679,247$ | $6,177,005$ |
| $1,480,513$ | $1,901,445$ |
| $9,159,760$ | $8,078,450$ |
|  |  |
| $2,000,000$ | $2,000,000$ |
| 800,000 | 800,000 |
| $2,631,345$ | $2,894,202$ |
| $5,431,345$ | $5,694,202$ |
| $14,591,105$ | $13,772,652$ |

Table 2.2 - Balance Sheet for the Case Study
2. Very soon, the new general manger looks into the trend of the gross profit margin of the company. He finds that the gross profit margin ratios are $24.27 \%$ and $29.74 \%$ in 2012 and 2011 respectively, representing a fall of $5.47 \%$. He should be cautious about this fall as a fall in this ratio means less profit will be earned for every dollar of revenue generated. Also, the gross profit falls by $3.86 \%$ even though there is a rise of $17.79 \%$ in the revenue. It may be due to an increase in the cost of revenue without any increase in the contract price because of the highly competitive market at that moment, or unfavourable purchasing/markup policies, and so on. The general manager therefore should make a further investigation on the cost of revenue, that is, the costs of materials, labour, subcontracts, etc.
3. All items in the cost of revenue have a 2 -digit increment in percentage except the labour cost, whose increase is insignificant (up by $0.28 \%$ ). The general manager is even more impressive to find out that the labour cost as a percentage of the revenue has actually gone down (see vertical analysis of the income statement) while the revenue has gone up. One thing he needs to take note of is that the cost of subcontractors has risen by $35.92 \%$ compared to the previous year (see horizontal analysis). The reduction in labour cost may be due to the increase in subcontracts in 2012. Anyway, the general manager needs to find out whether or not the reduction of labour has been really due to the increase of subcontracts as they are somehow interrelated. Keeping a certain level of direct labour in the company is essential and a balance has to be maintained between the company's direct labour and subcontractors.
4. Upon further review of the Income Statement, the new general manager is rather disappointed by the performance of 2012 as there is a significant decrease of $52.63 \%$ in the net profit although the revenue has gone up. He requests a thorough study on the reasons for the decrease and a report from the management. He strongly thinks that the study is necessary and important because of such a discouraging performance. In fact, the net profit margin ratio is only 3.26\% (see vertical analysis), which is well below the norm of the construction industry's minimum $5 \%$. He is worrying about the company's ability to achieve a satisfactory return on its investment.

The rise of total variable overhead is $34.74 \%$ from 2011 to 2012 (see horizontal analysis). This rise certainly lowers down the operating profit considerably. When he looks into the items of the variable overhead, he is troubled by the acute rise of almost 2.5 times in the Travel and Entertainment cost. The increase of Bad Debts by $68.11 \%$ is also high. Both Truck, Vehicle \& Equipment expenses and Insurance \& Workers' Compensation expenses have risen by almost $35 \%$. They are not good signs as well. A thorough investigation on "how? why? what?" of these expenses is also necessary. Why there is a big increase in the entertainment expenses? What are the guidelines in approving entertainment expenses? How is the collection policy on debtors? Does the Accounts Department follow the policy strictly? What kinds of debtors are they?

The total fixed overhead as $12.68 \%$ of the revenue in 2012 is a little down compared to 2011 (see vertical analysis). Also, the increment is $8.99 \%$ in 2012 compared to 2011 (see horizontal analysis). Overall speaking, it is quite acceptable. However, the general manager needs to pay special attention to the sharp increases in Maintenance \& Repair expenses of $82.13 \%$ and Utilities expenses of $55.34 \%$.
5. While the general manger knows that the net profit margin ratio is lower than the industry's norm, he also sees that $24.55 \%$ of a return on equity ratio is a satisfactory return in the industry. It is quite clear that the operation of the business has delivered a good return to the owners (partners or shareholders). Therefore, what he really needs to do now are those that have been said in Point 4. Doing them should be sufficient at the time being.
6. The general manager notes that the Maintenance and Repair cost in 2012 is much higher than that in 2011. This can be a signal to replace aging assets. The degree of fixed asset newness is found to be $47.99 \%$. In fact, the overall indicator is healthy. Probably there are some particularly old assets among the fixed assets. In any case, the general manager needs to look closely into this matter so as to identify if there are really such old assets, and if yes, he should replace them in order to save repair costs. Furthermore, the high Maintenance and Repair cost may be due to poor management in cost control in that particular department which he should be responsible to rectify.

7. The general manager observes the declined working capital in 2012. However, he is not worrying about the company's ability to meet its short-term obligations in the coming year (2013), because he thinks the current ratio of 1.65 (for 2012) is a very good one. On the top of that, the working capital turnover is 8.14 times. It has improved as compared to 2011. Actually, 8.14 turns per year is a favourable level. Provided that the current ratio is healthy, if he can minimize the working capital so that fewer funds are tied up, he will be able to maximize cash flows,. Hence, the available cash can then be more profitably invested in the business.
8. Upon further review, the general manager finds that the quick ratio or acid test ratio is 1.10 (just good enough according to the norm of the construction industry) for 2012. The general manager is convinced that the company is financially secure in short term obligations. The quick ratio is in fact a more rigorous assessment of a company's short term financial ability than the current ratio. However, he cannot rely solely on the quick ratio itself as it provides no information about the timing of cash flows. The timing is crucial too as it really determines the ability of a company to pay debts when due. So it should be more meaningful to consider the quick ratio together with the cash demand period (Cash Demand Period is also called Cash Conversion Cycle - in days). In this case study, the cash demand period is 18.63 days, which is considered very satisfactory. Therefore, the company's short term financial ability is good.
9. The general manager is interested to know how well the company can handle unpredictable future cash flows. A quick way to the answer is by looking at the debt to equity ratio of the company. For 2012 the ratio is 1.69 , which is less than 2.5 . The figure 1.69 is a healthy but rather low debt to equity ratio in some people's eyes in the construction industry. It means that the borrowing capacity of the company is strong to meet unpredictable expenses or to service its unexpected long term liability in the future. To some people, however, such a rather low debt to equity ratio cannot allow the company to earn a larger money with a limited equity (see Section 4.4 of Chapter 4). The leverage is only 2.69 , whereas the norm of the industry's maximum can be 3.5. Yet, the general manager is a rather conservative man. He is satisfied with the debt to equity ratio being 1.69 and the leverage being 2.69 . He is a debt averter, at least so at the time being when he is so new in the company. Even if he wants to take a bigger risk, it would not be now but would be in the future. He actually knows well the drawbacks of high debts.

Drawbacks of high debts:
a) Low ability to handle unpredictable expenses.
b) Low ability to service unexpected long term liability.
c) Low grade of credit rating.
d) High interest expenses.
e) Sureties believe that construction companies with higher levels of working capital (i.e. lower current liabilities or lower debts) have a better chance of successfully finishing their projects.
f) Difficult to survive in economic downturn.
g) High risk in insolvency.
h) In general, the more debt a company has, the riskier its stockholder is, since debt holders have first claim to a company's assets. So if a company becomes bankrupt, there may be very little left to its stockholders after the company has satisfied its debt holders.
10. The general manager worries about the long period of average age of accounts receivable (54.69 days is longer than the industry's norm 45 days). He requests the management to have a better control on this aspect. His request is understandable especially when there is a sharp rise of $68 \%$ of bad debts in 2012 (see Point 4). In general, the greater the number of days outstanding, the greater the probability of delinquencies in accounts receivable. Also, the company is indirectly extending interest-free loans to its clients by maintaining accounts receivable. Therefore, it is correct for the general manager to ask the management to review its credit policies in order to ensure the timely collection of imparted credit.
11. The general manager is delighted with the efficacy of the inventory management as the average age of material inventory is 16 days for 2012 and 17 days for 2011, which are well within the industry's norm - a maximum of 30 days. An efficient manager has to balance the fund tied up in inventory as well as meeting the needs of the projects in hand. For on the other hand, over aggressiveness in reducing the age of inventory may cause out of stock issues.
12. Although the average age of accounts payable is higher than the normal practice 45 days, the general manager is not concerned about it at all. His philosophy is that the longer the payable days, the company will have more cash available in hand. However, on the other hand, he should not ignore building good relationship with his vendors. In fact, he needs the support and contribution from vendors from time to time to run his business efficiently and effectively. Moreover, the company can earn cash discount on timely payment as well as more favorable buying contracts from vendors, and there are both tangible and intangible benefits.

## 3 Compound Interest, Net Present Value (NPV), Equivalent Annual Cost and Loan Redemption

### 3.1 Nominal Interest Rate and Real Interest Rate

If an amount of $\$ 100,000$ is put in a bank, and the bank offers an interest rate of $6 \%$ per annum compounded annually, we will get $\$ 133,822.56$ after 5 years. This is calculated by:

$$
\$ 100,000 \times(1+0.06)^{5}=\$ 133,822.56
$$

In general, the compound amount is calculated by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=P \times(1+i)^{n} \tag{Eq3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad P=$ the initial investment or the principal sum
$n=$ the number of periods, which refers to years or months, or even days
$i=$ interest rate in \% per period
$S=$ the total sum of compound amount accumulated after $n$ periods
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The bank interest rate $6 \%$ per year (or per annum) is also called "nominal rate" (or "apparent rate"). The term "nominal" means that the rate is not a "real rate". In other words, the real value of the initial sum $\$ 100,000$ does not grow at $6 \%$ per annum, but usually grows at a rate lower than $6 \%$ per annum because inflation usually exists. If we assume the inflation rate to be $f \%$ per annum, the nominal rate to be $i^{\prime} \%$ per annum, and the real rate to be $i \%$ per annum, then we have the following approximate relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\prime}=i+f \tag{Eq3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is an approximate relationship only and is nearly true if the values of $i$ and $f$ are small. The true relationship will be discussed in Chapter 5. If the inflation rate $f$ in a year is very high, say, higher than the bank's interest rate $i$ ' (nominal rate or apparent rate), then we will have a negative real interest rate. This means that if we put money into a bank we will in fact lose money, because the value of the accumulated amount we get is lower than the value of the principal amount we initially put. We must not forget one thing, that is, the bank's interest rate is always a nominal rate, never a real rate. Investors, however, are only interested in the real rate, because only the real rate can reflect how much they really earn.

Now, let us take a look at an example how banks pay interests to their customers.

## Example 3.1

a) A bank pays $6 \%$ interest per annum, but interest payment is on yearly basis. If $\$ 100,000$ is deposited on 1 January, providing no withdrawal is made, how much will be in the account on 31 December of the same year?
The accumulated amount $=100000 \times\left(1+\frac{0.06}{1}\right)=\$ 106,000$
b) The bank keeps paying $6 \%$ interest per annum, but interest payment is on half yearly basis. How much will be in the account at the year end?
The accumulated amount $=100000 \times\left(1+\frac{0.06}{2}\right)^{2}=\$ 106,090$
c) The bank keeps paying $6 \%$ interest per annum, but interest payment is on quarterly basis.

How much will be in the account at the year end?
The accumulated amount $=100000 \times\left(1+\frac{0.06}{4}\right)^{4}=\$ 106,136$
d) If the bank keeps paying $6 \%$ interest per annum, how much will be in the account at the year end if it pays interest on monthly basis?
The accumulated amount $=100000 \times\left(1+\frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{12}=\$ 106,168$
e) If the bank keeps paying $6 \%$ interest per annum, how much will be in the account at the year end if it pays interest on daily basis?
The accumulated amount $=100000 \times\left(1+\frac{0.06}{365}\right)^{365}=\$ 106,183$
f) If the bank offers to pay interest (at $6 \%$ per annum) as often as the customer chooses, what will be the maximum amount in the account at the end of the year?
We want to find $100000 \times\left(1+\frac{0.06}{n}\right)^{n}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^{n}=e^{x}$, the accumulated amount $=100000 \times e^{0.06}=\$ 106,184$

### 3.2 Compound Interest Calculations

In the discussion of compound interest mathematics, we want to make an assumption - an inflationfree assumption, for the time being. We assume that there is no inflation in this world, and hence $i$ is equal to $i^{\prime}$. This assumption is of course not true in the real world. The purpose of making such an assumption temporarily is that we do not want to create confusion between nominal rate and real rate at the time being. We will remove this unrealistic assumption later after the next chapter and go back to live in the real world.

### 3.2.1 Uniform Series Compound Amount

In section 3.1, we have only considered a principal sum of single payment. Now we begin to see a series of uniform payments. For a series of uniform payments, let $A=$ the periodic uniform payment made at the end of each period continuing for $n$ periods to accumulate a sum $S$. The situation can be presented diagrammatically as shown in Fig. 3.1.


Fig. 3.1 - Sum of money accumulated after $n$ periods due to uniform periodic payments.

| Compound amount of the first $A$ at period $n$ | $=A(1+i)^{n-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Compound amount of the second $A$ at period $n$ | $=A(1+i)^{n-2}$ |
| : | $:$ |
| Compound amount of the $(n-1)$ th $A$ at period $n$ | $=A(1+i)^{1}$ |
| Compound amount of the $n$th $A$ at period $n$ | $=A$ |
| Total | $=S$ |

So it is a geometric series. We can apply geometric series formula to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=A \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}\right] \tag{Eq3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}\right]$ are shown in the Appendix for different values of $i$ and $n$.

## Example 3.2

A construction company will replace an excavator after 5 years. A new one costs $\$ 250,000$. How much is the end-of-year annual uniform payment the company has to put into a bank in order to save enough money in five years' time for purchasing the equipment if the bank is offering an interest rate of $4 \%$ per annum?


[^2]The problem can be presented diagrammatically as follows:


Fig. 3.2 - Sum of $\$ 250,000$ accumulated by 5 uniform periodic (annual) payments.

We have to bear in mind that the excavator always costs $\$ 250,000$, whether now or after five years, as the inflation-free assumption has been made.

Applying Equation 3.3, $250,000=A \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}\right]=A \times 5.4163$
(5.4163 is found by substituting $i=0.04$ and $n=5$ into the formula, or from Appendix)

$$
\text { Hence, } A=\frac{250,000}{5.4163}=\$ 46,157
$$

### 3.2.2 Uniform Series Sinking Fund

It is a little troublesome to obtain $\$ 46,157$ in Example 3.2. First, we have to use Equation 3.3. Second, we have to rearrange terms to calculate $A$ ( $A$ is usually referred to as Sinking Fund). In fact, we can calculate the sinking fund $A$ in a more direct (quicker) way.

Equation 3.3 can be rearranged as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=S \times\left[\frac{i}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right] \tag{Eq3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 3.4 allows us to calculate the sinking fund $\$ 46,157$ (i.e. A) in Example 3.2 directly and more quickly. It is left to the readers as an exercise to try it.

The values of $\left[\frac{i}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right]$ are shown in the Appendix for different values of $i$ and $n$.

### 3.2.3 Uniform Series Capital Recovery

In the previous two subsections, the relationships between the final accumulated sum and a principal sum investment or a series of uniform installment investment are discussed. This subsection discusses the relationship between an initial loan $P$ and the subsequent uniform series of payments to offset against the loan $P$. The situation can be presented in Fig. 3.3 diagrammatically.


Fig. 3.3 - Uniform periodic payments for recovering a loan $P$

Since Equation 3.1 gives $S=P \times(1+i)^{\mathrm{n}}$
and Equation 3.3 gives $S=A \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}\right]$
Solving the above two equations by eliminating $S$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=P \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right] \tag{Eq3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right]$ are shown in the Appendix for different values of $i$ and $n$.
We are going to see an example how we can apply Equation 3.5.

## Example 3.3

If the excavator of Example 3.2 suddenly breaks down now so the company needs to purchase a new one immediately and not 5 years later, and therefore has to borrow from a bank $\$ 250,000$ at an interest rate of $4 \%$ per annum. What will be the annual installment for repaying the loan in 5 years?

The problem can be presented diagrammatically as follows:


Fig. 3.4 - Uniform annual installments for recovering a loan of $\$ 250,000$.

$$
A=250,000 \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right]=\begin{gathered}
250,000 \times(0.22463) \\
=\$ 56,157
\end{gathered}
$$

( 0.22463 is found by substituting $i=0.04$ and $n=5$ into the formula, or from Appendix)

It should be interesting to note that the answer of Example 3.3, which is $\$ 56,157$, is exactly $\$ 10,000$ more than the answer of Example 3.2, which is $\$ 46,157$. This is not a coincidence. The later part of this chapter will give hints to the explanation. Readers may know why it is so after they have finished reading Example 3.6, particularly the last part of it.


The value $A$ in Example 3.3 is usually referred to as Equivalent Annual Cost as the period is defined as one year in the question. For example, the equivalent annual cost of owning the excavator in Example 3.3 is $\$ 56,157$ a year with $i$ equal to $4 \%$ per annum. Comparatively, the annual cost of owning it is $\$ 50,000$ a year (i.e. $250,000 \div 5$ ) if $i$ is taken as $0 \%$ p.a. (i.e. zero interest rate; p.a. stands for per annum).

### 3.2.4 Present Value (Single payment and Uniform Series Payments)

Let us look at Fig. 3.5, which explains what Present Value is for a single payment.


Fig. 3.5 - Present value of a single payment F.
$F$ would be equal to $\$ 16,289$ if $i$ is taken as $5 \%$ p.a. In other words, $\$ 16,289$ of 10 years later is equivalent to $\$ 10,000$ today, or we can say that the present value (i.e. today's value) of $\$ 16,289$ of ten years later is $\$ 10,000$, because:

$$
\$ 10,000 \times(1+0.05)^{10}=\$ 16,289
$$

Mathematically, the present value of $F$ can be expressed in the form of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Present value of } F=\frac{F}{(1+i)^{n}}=F \times\left[\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}\right] \tag{Eq3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\left[\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}\right]$ are shown in the Appendix for different values of $i$ and $n$.
Now, let us consider a uniform series of payments as shown in Fig. 3.6:


The equivalent sum of money today (the present value), which is equivalent to all these uniform series of payment for $n$ periods, can be calculated from the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F}{1+i}+\frac{F}{(1+i)^{2}}+\frac{F}{(1+i)^{3}}+\ldots+\frac{F}{(1+i)^{n}}=F \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}\right] \tag{Eq3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The above equation is just a simple geometric series and its derivation is left to the readers.
The value of $\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}\right]$ are shown in the Appendix for different values of $i$ and $n$.
Now, let us see an example that the application of present value is illustrated.

## Example 3.4

The construction cost of a service reservoir for supplying water to a housing estate is estimated to be $\$ 60,000,000$. The annual operation and maintenance cost is estimated to be $\$ 2,500,000$ per year. The annual income from the collection of water supply fees (for this service reservoir's part) from the users will be $\$ 7,000,000$. Assuming a time horizon of 30 years and taking $i$ as $5 \%$ p.a., find out if the project is financially feasible.

The problem can be presented diagrammatically in Fig. 3.7 as follows:


Fig. 3.7 - Cash flows presented in diagram for service reservoir project

## a) Present Value Method

(i) Present value of benefits in 30 years

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =7,000,000 \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}\right] \quad \text { for } i=0.05 \text { and } n=30 \\
& =7,000,000 \times(15.3724)=
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Present value of construction cost $=$

Present value of operation and maintenance cost

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2,500,000 \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}\right] \text { for } i=0.05 \text { and } n=30 \\
& =2,500,000 \times(15.3724)=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\$ 38,431,000
$$

\$98,431,000
(iii) Net present value $($ NPV $)=$

The positive net present value (i.e. present value of total benefits - present value of total costs) indicates that the project is feasible. NPV is the short form of net present value.

## b) Equivalent Annual Cost Method

(i) Annual benefit =
\$7,000,000
(ii) Annual operation and maintenance cost =
\$2,500,000

Equivalent annual cost of construction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad=60,000,000 \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right] \text { for } i=0.05 \text { and } n=30 \\
& =60,000,000 \times(0.06505)= \\
& \text { (iii) Net annual benefit }(\mathrm{NAB})= \\
& \underline{\$ 3,903,000}
\end{aligned}
$$

The positive net annual benefit (i.e. total annual benefits - total annual costs) indicates that the project is feasible. NAB is the short form of net annual benefit.
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The two methods (a) and (b) must have consistent results. If the NPV is calculated to be positive, the NAB must also be calculated to be positive, and vice versa. Therefore, either one method only is sufficient to determine whether the project is feasible or not.

We should note that there exists a relationship between the results of methods (a) and (b):


### 3.3 Benefit-Cost Ratio

The benefit-cost ratio (or B/C ratio) is defined as follows:
a) $B / C$ ratio $=\frac{\text { Present worth of total benefits }}{\text { Present worth of total costs }}$ or
b) $B / C$ ratio $=\frac{\text { Equivalent annual total benefits }}{\text { Equivalent annual totalcosts }}$

Both definitions (a) and (b) give the same answers. Take Example 3.4 (the service reservoir project) as an example:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C} \text { ratio }=\frac{107,606,800}{98,431,000}=1.093 & \quad \text { (by definition }(\mathrm{a})) \\
\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C} \text { ratio }=\frac{7,000,000}{6,403,000}=1.093 & \quad \text { (by definition }(\mathrm{b}))
\end{array}
$$

If the $B / C$ ratio is greater than 1 , the project is worthwhile, and vice versa. When $B / C$ ratio is exactly 1 , the project is a break-even one. A project having a positive net present value or a positive net annual benefit must have a $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio greater than 1 . Similarly, a project having a negative net present value or a negative net annual benefit will have a $B / C$ ratio less than 1 .

### 3.4 Salvage Value and Equivalent Annual Cost

If the price of a new vehicle is $\$ 200,000$, and if its price drops to $\$ 40,000$ six years later, the vehicle is said to have a salvage value of $\$ 40,000$ after six years. In general, the salvage value of an asset is its value after $n$ years. (Readers are reminded that the inflation-free assumption is still valid). We usually use $P$ to denote an asset's initial cost, and $R$ its salvage value. Diagrammatically, $P$ and $R$ can be presented as shown in Fig. 3.8.


Fig. 3.8 - Present value of salvage value $R$
Let $P V_{n}=$ the present value of the salvage value $\underset{\times}{R}=R \times\left[\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}\right]$
$\therefore$ Net present value of the asset investment $\quad=P-P V_{n}$

$$
=P-\left[\frac{R}{(1+i)^{n}}\right]
$$

Let the equivalent annual cost of owning an asset with salvage value $R$ be $A$. $A$ can be calculated by spreading the net present value into $n$ yearly payments

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore A=\left[P-\frac{R}{(1+i)^{n}}\right] \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right] \tag{Eq3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can transform Equation 3.8 algebraically to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=(P-R) \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right]+R \times i \tag{Eq3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebraic transformation is left to the readers. We may use either Equation 3.8 or Equation 3.9 to find $A$, but Equation 3.9 is more commonly used.

## Example 3.5

A construction manager wants to purchase a new crane for his company. He is offered by two agents of two different crane manufacturers. After detailed investigation he has obtained the following data:

|  | Crane Model $A$ | Crane Model B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cost of crane | $\$ 800,000$ | $\$ 650,000$ |
| Annual maintenance cost | $\$ 55,000$ p.a. | $\$ 70,000$ p.a |
| Salvage value | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 65,000$ |
| Life | 10 years | 10 years |

Assuming $i=8 \%$ p.a., determine for the manager which crane model he should purchase.

## a) Present Value Method

Crane Model A
(i) Capital $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$
(ii) Present value of annual maintenance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 50,000 \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}\right] \\
& =55,000 \times(6.7101) \\
& =\mathbf{\$ 3 6 9 , 0 5 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Less:

Less:
present value of $\quad-100,000 \times\left[\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}\right]$ salvage

$$
=-100,000 \times(0.4632)
$$

$$
=-\$ 46,320
$$

(iv) Present value of total costs
\$1.122.735

## Crane Model B

## $\mathbf{\$ 6 5 0 , 0 0 0}$

$$
70,000 \times\left[\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}\right]
$$

$$
=70,000 \times(6.7101)
$$

$$
=\$ 469,707
$$

$-65,000 \times\left[\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}\right]$
$=-65,000 \times(0,4632)$

$$
=\mathbf{- \$ 3 0 , 1 0 8}
$$

$\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 8 9 5 9 9}$

$$
\text { ( } i=0.08 \text { and } n=10 \text { are taken in the above calculations) }
$$

Model B has a lower present value of total costs so it should be purchased.
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b) Equivalent Annual Cost Method

|  | Crane Model A | Crane Model B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) Equivalent annual cost of capital | $(800,000-100,000) \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right]$ | $(650,000-65,000) \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right]$ |
|  | + 100,000 $\times$ i | + $65,000 \times i$ |
|  | $=700,000 \times(0.1490)+8,000$ | $=585,000 \times(0.1490)+5,200$ |
|  | $=\mathbf{\$ 1 1 2 , 3 0 0}$ | = \$92,365 |
| (ii) Annual maintenance cost | \$55,000 | \$70,000 |
| (iii) Total |  |  |
| Equivalent | \$167,300 | \$162.365 |
| Annual cost |  |  |

$$
\text { ( } i=0.08 \text { and } n=10 \text { are taken in the above calculations) }
$$

The same conclusion can be drawn as Model B has a lower total equivalent annual cost.

### 3.5 Redeeming a Loan

The basic idea of compound interest is that, as seen in the previous sections, the interest is calculated based on the new balance for each period. If $\$ 100,000$, say, is deposited in a bank and the interest rate is $5 \%$ per annum, it becomes $\$ 105,000$ (i.e. $\$ 100,000 \times 1.05$ ) at the end of the first year. The interest gained in the following year will be calculated based on the new balance $\$ 105,000$, and this sum will grow to $\$ 110,250$ (i.e. $\$ 105,000 \times 1.05$ ) at the end of the second year, and so on. The same basic idea applies to the interest charged to a loan, that is, interest will be charged based on the new balance (or the unpaid balance) of the loan. Let us take a look at several different repayment methods in recovering a loan of $\$ 100,000$ in 5 years at $i=5 \%$ p.a.

NOTE: $i$ is sometimes termed the cost of capital because one has to pay that percentage of money in order to obtain the capital. In this case, one has to pay $\$ 5,000(5 \%)$ in order to obtain a loan of $\$ 100,000$ in the first year. Another name for $i$ is discount rate.

## Example 3.6

Repayment Method 1: the principal sum borrowed (i.e. $\$ 100,000$ ) be amortized in five years, $\$ 20,000$ each year, as shown in Table 3.1:

| End of year | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal borrowed | Principal amortization | Unpaid balance (i.e. new balance) | Interest charged to new balance ( $\mathrm{Col} 3 \times 5 \%$ ) | Annual installment $(\mathrm{Col} 2+\mathrm{Col} 4)$ |
| 0 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 20,000 | 80,000 | 5,000 | 25,000 |
| 2 |  | 20,000 | 60,000 | $\rightarrow 4,000$ | 24,000 |
| 3 |  | 20,000 | 40,000 | 3,000 | 23,000 |
| 4 |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | $\rightarrow 2,000$ | 22,000 |
| 5 |  | 20,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 21,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma=115,000$ |

Table 3.1 - Uniform principal amortization for a loan of $\$ 100,000$

Repayment Method 2: the principal sum borrowed be amortized in five years in an increasing manner from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 30,000$ as per Table 3.2.

| End of year | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal borrowed | Principal amortization | Unpaid balance (i.e. new balance) | Interest charged to new balance (Col $3 \times 5 \%$ ) | Annual installment $(\mathrm{Col} 2+\operatorname{Col} 4)$ |
| 0 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 10,000 | 90,000 | \ 5,000 | 15,000 |
| 2 |  | 15,000 | 75,000 | 4 4,500 | 19,500 |
| 3 |  | 20,000 | 55,000 | வ 3,750 | 23,750 |
| 4 |  | 25,000 | 30,000 | د 2,750 | 27,750 |
| 5 |  | 30,000 | 0 | 4 1,500 | 31,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma=117,500$ |

Table 3.2 - Non-uniform principal amortization for loan \$100,000

We should note that the present values of the annual installments for Tables 3.1 and 3.2 are the same. They both equal to $\$ 100,000$ taking $i=5 \%$ per annum and can be shown mathematically as follows:

Present Value (Repayment Method 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{25,000}{1+0.05}+\frac{24,000}{(1+0.05)^{2}}+\frac{23,000}{(1+0.05)^{3}}+\frac{22,000}{(1+0.05)^{4}}+\frac{21,000}{(1+0.05)^{5}} \\
& =\$ 100,000
\end{aligned}
$$

Present Value (Repayment Method 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{15,000}{1+0.05}+\frac{19,500}{(1+0.05)^{2}}+\frac{23,750}{(1+0.05)^{3}}+\frac{27,750}{(1+0.05)^{4}}+\frac{31,500}{(1+0.05)^{5}} \\
& =\$ 100,000
\end{aligned}
$$

Although the total amount of annual installment for Repayment Method $1(\$ 115,000)$ is less than that for Repayment Method $2(\$ 117,500)$, it would be wrong to say that Method 1 is a better alternative merely because of its lower value of total amount of annual installments. It is wrong to say so because the present values of the two methods are the same.

Now let us look at another method - Repayment Method 3.
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Repayment Method 3: the principal sum borrowed to be paid in a lump sum at the end of Year 5 is as shown in Table 3.3.

| End of year | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal borrowed | Principal amortization | Unpaid balance (i.e. new balance) | Interest charged to new balance $(\operatorname{Col} 3 \times 5 \%)$ | Annual installment $(\mathrm{Col} 2+\mathrm{Col} 4)$ |
| 0 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 0 | 100,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 2 |  | 0 | 100,000 | - 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 3 |  | 0 | 100,000 | - 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 100,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 5 |  | 100,000 | 0 | - 5,000 | 105,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma=125,000$ |

Table 3.3 - Single principal amortization for a loan of $\$ 100,000$

The present value of the annual installments for this method will of course be the same again, i.e. $\$ 100,000$, if $i$ is taken as 5 percent per annum. The verification is left to the readers.

We should pay attention to columns (3) and (4) of Table 3.3. Apparently, the annual interest seems like simple interest calculation, giving $\$ 5,000$ of interest constantly from Year 1 to Year 5. The calculation has been based on the multiplication of the principal sum by the interest rate (i.e. $P \times i=\$ 100,000 \times 5 \%$ per annum), which looks similar to the method of simple interest calculation. However, the interest payment shown in Table 3.3 is in fact calculated by compound interest principle, with the new balances (i.e. column 3) remain unchanged at $\$ 100,000$, the same as the principal, over the whole period of loan recovery of five years. In such a case, the interest is actually charged annually to the new balance (i.e. compound interest principle), as if it is charged to the principal sum.

### 3.6 Principal Amortization and Interest Payment of a Loan

In redeeming a loan, the redeeming amount is divided into two parts: (1) principal amortization, and (2) interest payment. The first part principal amortization does not include interest at all. The total amount amortized during the total period of the loan should be exactly equal to the loan itself. The words "amortized" and "amortization" have already appeared in Example 3.6. The second part interest payment is for paying the "cost of capital" (see the NOTE immediately before Example 3.6). We are going to illustrate these in Example 3.7.

## Example 3.7

A company has borrowed $\$ 100,000$ from a bank which charges the former $5 \%$ interest p.a. The loan has to be recovered in 5 years compounded annually.
a) How much should the company pay at the end of each year to the bank (assuming uniform payment)?
b) The bank changes the interest rate to $4 \%$ p.a. at the beginning of the third year. What will be the amount of the company's last payment (i.e. payment at the end of year 5) if it keeps on paying the bank the same amount as calculated in (a) above at the end of years 3 and 4?
c) Continued from (b), what will be the company's repayment schedule if it chooses to pay back the bank in the form of uniform payments at the end of years 3,4 and 5 ?

## Answer:

a) $\begin{aligned} &\left.\text { Yearly payment }=1,000,000 \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right] \text { (for } i=0.05 \text { and } n=5\right) \\ &= 100,000 \times 0.2309748 \\ &= \$ 23,097.48\end{aligned}$

| End of <br> year | Principal unpaid Yearly payment | Interest | Principal <br> amortization | Remaining <br> principal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 100,000.00$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 5,000.00$ | $\$ 18,097.48$ | $\$ 81,902.52$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 81,902.52$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 4,095.12$ | $\$ 19,002.36$ | $\$ 62,900.16$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 62,900.16$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 3,145.01$ | $\$ 19,952.47$ | $\$ 42,947.69$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 42,947.69$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 2,147.38$ | $\$ 20,950.10$ | $\$ 21,997.59$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 21,997.59$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 1,099.89$ | $\$ 21,997.59$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

In the above table, for year 1 , interest $=100,000 \times 5 \%=5,000$. The principal amortization $=$ $23,097.48-5,000=18,097.48$, and therefore the remaining principal $=100,000-18,097.48=81,902.52$. For year 2 , interest $=81,902.52 \times 5 \%=4,095.12$, and the principal amortization $=23,097.48-4,095.12=$ $19,002.36$, and hence the remaining principal $=81,902.52-19,002.36=62,900.16$. Similar calculations are applied to years 3,4 and 5 . The final remaining principal would naturally be equal to zero at the end of year 5 .

It is interesting to note that the five figures under the column Principal amortization sum up to exactly the loan amount $\$ 100,000$. The same is true for parts (b) and (c) below.
b) In the part (b) table, only the first two rows (years 1 and 2 ) are similar to the part (a) table ( $5 \%$ p.a. interest rate). For years 3,4 and 5 , interest $=$ principal unpaid $\times 4 \%$.

| End of year | Principal unpaid | Yearly payment | Interest | Principal <br> amortization | Remaining <br> principal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 100,000.00$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 5,000.00$ | $\$ 18,097.48$ | $\$ 81,902.52$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 81,902.52$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 4,095.12$ | $\$ 19,002.36$ | $\$ 62,900.16$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 62,900.16$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 2,516.01$ | $\$ 20,581.47$ | $\$ 42,318.69$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 42,318.69$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 1,692.75$ | $\$ 21,404.73$ | $\$ 20,913.96$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 20,913.96$ | $\$ 21,750.52$ | $\$ 836.56$ | $\$ 20,913.96$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

So, if the company keeps on paying the bank the same amount as calculated in (a) at the end of years 3 $\& 4$, the amount of the company's last payment is $\$ 21,750.52$ ( $\$ 836.56$ interest already included).
c) Because the interest rate changes to $4 \%$ p.a. at the beginning of the 3 rd yr , the new uniform payment is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 62,900.16 \times\left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right](\text { for } i=0.04 \text { and } n=3) \\
& =\$ 62,900.16 \times 0.3603485 \\
& =\$ 22,665.98
\end{aligned}
$$

If the company chooses to pay back the bank in the form of uniform payments at the end of years 3,4 $\& 5$, the repayment schedule will be like this:

| End of year | Principal unpaid | Yearly payment | Interest | Principal <br> amortization | Remaining <br> principal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 100,000.00$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 5,000.00$ | $\$ 18,097.48$ | $\$ 81,902.52$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 81,902.52$ | $\$ 23,097.48$ | $\$ 4,095.12$ | $\$ 19,002.36$ | $\$ 62,900.16$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 62,900.16$ | $\$ 22,665.98$ | $\$ 2,516.01$ | $\$ 20,149.97$ | $\$ 42,750.19$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 42,750.19$ | $\$ 22,665.98$ | $\$ 1,710.01$ | $\$ 20,955.97$ | $\$ 21,794.22$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 21,794.22$ | $\$ 22,665.98$ | $\$ 871.76$ | $\$ 21,794.22$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

[^3]
## 4 Internal Rate of Return (IRR) and the Differences between IRR and NPV

### 4.1 Net Cash Flow (NCF)

Usually, we present the cash flows of a capital project investment in a Cash Flow Table in the following manner:

| End of year | Cash-out | Cash-in | NCF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{0}=\mathrm{B}_{0}-\mathrm{C}_{0}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{1}=\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |
| $:$ | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ | $:$ | $\vdots$ |
| $:$ |  | $:$ | $\vdots$ |
| $n$ |  | $\mathrm{~B}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{n}=\mathrm{B}_{n}-\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |

Table 4.1 - Cash flow table and net cash flow (NCF)


Net Cash Flow (NCF in short) of a certain year is defined as (Cash-in - Cash-out) in that year. The NPV (net present value), which has been discussed in Section 3.2 of Chapter 3, can be calculated by Equation 4.1 as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NPV}=\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{1+i}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{(1+i)^{n}} \tag{Eq4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in Example 3.4 of Chapter 3, we can use NPV to find whether or not a project is viable. If the value of NPV is positive, then the project is viable, and vice versa. When such a method is used, we need to have a pre-determined $i$ value to calculate the NPV.

In this chapter, however, we will use a different approach to find whether or not a project is viable. The Discount Cash Flow (DCF in short) method will be introduced, and this method associates with the Internal Rate of Return (IRR in short). We will see these in Section 4.2.

### 4.2 DCF Method and IRR

In the DCF (Discount Cash Flow) method, we do not need to have a pre-determined $i$. Instead of using Equation 4.1 to find the NPV, we set NPV equal to zero, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{1+i}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{(1+i)^{n}}=0 \tag{Eq4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then solve Equation 4.2 and find the value of $i$. The solution of the variable $i$ is called the IRR - internal rate of return. Equation 4.2, however, is difficult to solve, especially when $n$ is large. Therefore, we rarely solve the equation algebraically, but usually use a numerical method, called DCF method, to find the solution of $i$ in Equation 4.2. The following example illustrates how we can use DCF method to find IRR.

## Example 4.1

Find the IRR of an investment of $\$ 50,000$ whose receipts in the next four years are $\$ 15,000, \$ 15,000$, $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 20,000$ respectively. Is this investment viable if the minimum desirable rate of return is 10\% p.a.?

To calculate the IRR, we do it in a table as shown in Table 4.2:

| End of Year | (1) <br> Cash out | (2) <br> Cash in | (3) <br> NCF | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\frac{(4)}{[1+i)^{n}}\right]} \\ i=12 \%, n=0 \text { to } 4 \end{gathered}$ | (5) (DCF) ${ }^{12 \%}$ (3) $\times(4)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} {\left[\frac{1}{(6)}\right.} \\ (1+i)^{n} \end{array}\right]$ | (7) <br> (DCF) ${ }^{16 \%}$ $(3) \times(6)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 50,000 |  | -50,000 | 1.0000 | -50,000 | 1.0000 | -50,000 |
| 1 |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0.8929 | 13,393 | 0.8621 | 12,931 |
| 2 |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0.7972 | 11,958 | 0.7432 | 11,148 |
| 3 |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0.7118 | 14,236 | 0.6407 | 12,814 |
| 4 |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0.6355 | 12,710 | 0.5523 | 11,046 |
|  |  |  |  | NPV $=\Sigma=2,297$ |  | NPV $=\Sigma=-2,061$ |  |

Table 4.2 - IRR calculation by DCF method

The first four columns in Table 4.2 (including 'End of Year', (1), (2) and (3)) are the same as Table 4.1. The $12 \%$ p.a. in Column (4) is entered arbitrarily, and the figures in this column can be calculated using the present value formula or simply be copied from the Appendix. In Column (5), (DCF) ${ }^{12 \%}$ tells us the PV ( present value) of each row of NCF at a $12 \%$ p.a. discount rate. Column (6) is similar to Column (4) and Column (7) is similar to Column (5), except that $16 \%$ p.a. instead of $12 \%$ p.a. discount rate is used this time. This $16 \%$ p.a. is also entered arbitrarily. The sum of the figures in Column (5) is 2,297 , and this represents the NPV (net present value) of the NCFs at $i=12 \%$ p.a. (see Equation 4.1). Similarly, Column (7) tells us that the NPV of the NCFs is $-2,061$ at $i=16 \%$ p.a.

So, if $i=12 \%$ p.a., NPV $=2,297$, and if $i=16 \%$ p.a., NPV $=-2,061$. There exists a value of $i$ where $12 \%$ p.a. $<i<16 \%$ p.a. such that the NPV would be equal to zero, satisfying Equation 4.2. So, this value of $i$, by its mathematical definition, is the IRR, whose approximate value can be calculated by the "similar triangles method" as follows:

$$
i=12 \%+\left(\frac{2,297}{2,297+2,061}\right) \times(16-12) \%=14.11 \% \text { p.a. }
$$

The above IRR ( $14.11 \%$ p.a.) is not very accurate because by similar triangles method we have assumed the NPV vs. $i$ curve to be linear between the interval $12 \%$ and $16 \%$ of the $i$ axis, but in fact it is not (Equation 4.1 is not linear). We can get a more accurate answer by narrowing down the interval, say, between $13.5 \%$ and $14.5 \%$ (instead of $12 \%$ and $16 \%$ ) and carry out the whole process of Table 4.2 again. A more accurate answer will be obtained by doing this second iteration. Computer programs have been developed to be able to calculate a very accurate IRR by performing much such iteration. This was why we entered $12 \%$ and $16 \%$ (or any other percentages) arbitrarily at the first instant; we can finally obtain the same IRR anyway.

In Microsoft Excel, a built-in function is provided for calculating IRR. For Example 4.1, we can enter -50000 in Cell A1 (or any other cell we like). If we have entered it in Cell A1, then we have to enter 15000, 15000, 20000 and 20000 in Cells A2, A3, A4 and A5 respectively. If we want to have the answer (a very accurate IRR) in Cell A7 (or any other preferred cell), then in this cell we enter $=\operatorname{IRR}(A 1: A 5)$ and then press Enter. A very accurate IRR answer will appear in this cell, and in this example it is $14.04 \%$. Another built-in function of Microsoft Excel allows us to calculate NPV. If we enter $=\mathrm{NPV}(12 \%, \mathrm{~A} 2: \mathrm{A} 5)+\mathrm{A} 1$ in a cell and then press Enter, then the NPV 2,297 (see Table 4.2) will appear in this cell.

So far we have discussed the mathematical definition of IRR and the method to obtain it. But what does IRR mean in practice, that is, what does $14.04 \%$ p.a. represent? The following explains it. If we borrow $\$ 50,000$ from a bank, and pay the bank $\$ 15,000$ after one year, $\$ 15,000$ after two years, $\$ 20,000$ after three years, and $\$ 20,000$ after four years, then the bank is actually charging us $14.04 \%$ p.a. interest rate. Or we may put it in another way: if we put $\$ 50,000$ in a business, and the net incomes in the next four years are $\$ 15,000, \$ 15,000, \$ 20,000$ and $\$ 20,000$ respectively, then the rate of return of our investment is $14.04 \%$ p.a. This $14.04 \%$ p.a. is a constant rate throughout the whole period of four years. This is the practical meaning of IRR. Since the IRR is $14.04 \%$ p.a. and is greater than the minimum desirable rate of return $10 \%$ p.a. (given by the question), the investment is viable.

As a reminder, NPV method can also be used to find the viability of this investment. We can use Equation 4.1 with $i=10 \%$ p.a. (the minimum desirable rate of return) to calculate the NPV. If the NPV is positive, the investment is viable, and vice versa. In this example, NPV is of course positive.


One point we should take note is that DCF method takes account of depreciation automatically inasmuch as it allows for the capital investment to be offset against the incomes over the life of the investment. What follows is a very simple example illustrating this point. If we invest $\$ 1,000$ and the life of the investment is 1 year, and the income is $\$ 1,100$ at the end of year 1 (i.e. the end of the life of the investment), then the gain is $\$ 100$ and the IRR is $10 \%$ p.a. So we can see that $\$ 1,000$ has depreciated in this 1 year. In performing financial analysis, we have to be careful that depreciation is not double-counted, since the DCF method takes account of depreciation automatically. We will see such examples in Chapter 7.

### 4.3 IRR versus NPV

The NPV (net present value) and the IRR (internal rate of return) are the two most common and important indicators for capital investments. These two indicators, however, have intrinsic differences between one and the other. Some people take the view that IRR is more cognitively efficient than NPV, because IRR is expressed as a percentage (or a rate of return) while NPV is just a monetary value cognitively inefficient to decision makers. However, some people take the view that NPV is more conceptually "correct" despite the fact that IRR may be more popular than NPV, and that NPV is more theoretically sound because IRR may be too "capricious" or "fickle" and sometimes does not rank some projects in the same order as NPV does. We have to examine this in detail.

If there are two alternatives (A and B, say) for us to rank (or to prioritize), we may use either (1) highest IRR criterion, or (2) highest NPV criterion. It is important to note that the results of the two criteria may be consistent with each other or may be not. The NPV vs. $i$ curves of Alternative A and Alternative B are plotted in Fig. 4.1. We should note that the two curves representing the two alternatives are not linear but for convenience sake we just draw them linear.


Figure 4.1 - Potential inconsistency of NPV and IRR

From Fig. 4.1, Alternative A is better than Alternative B if criterion (1), highest IRR, is used, since $\operatorname{IRR}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is greater than $\operatorname{IRR}_{B}$. For $i>r$, the NPV of Alternative $A$ is higher than that of Alternative $B$ so according to criterion (2), highest NPV, Alternative A is better than Alternative B. Hence, the two criteria give consistent ranking. For $i<\mathrm{r}$, however, the NPV of Alternative B is higher than that of Alternative A, but $\operatorname{IRR}_{A}$ is greater than $\operatorname{IRR}_{B,}$ hence the two criteria give inconsistent ranking. Let us see Example 4.2.

## Example 4.2

This is an illustrative example of Fig 4.1. $n$ is taken as 30 years for this example.


The highest NPV criterion is inconsistent with the highest IRR criterion
NPV (assume $i=9 \%$ p.a.) $=\$ 342,000 \quad \$ 192,000$
(Alternative A has a higher NPV than Alternative B)

(Alternative A has a higher IRR than Alternative B)

The highest NPV criterion is consistent with the highest IRR criterion

The value of $r$ (defined in Fig. 4.1) must be between 6\% p.a. and $9 \%$ p.a., and is about $8.5 \%$ p.a. in this example.

The use of NAB, as discussed in the last part of Section 3.2 of Chapter 3, would have a ranking similar to that of NPV. The use of B/C ratio, as defined in Section 3.3 of Chapter 3, would have a ranking similar to that of IRR, because $B / C$ is a ratio and somehow reflects a kind of 'rate of return', though it is not the same as IRR. The verification of the above statements is left as exercise to the readers.

In comparing multiple alternatives, we should use the highest NPV criterion and not the highest IRR criterion. The highest IRR criterion may lead to wrong conclusion. The next section discusses why it is so in detail.

### 4.4 IRR as Financial Indicator and NPV as Economic Indicator

As said before, IRR and NPV have intrinsic differences between one and the other. There is a more fundamental definition given to the two. The definition is: NPV is an economic indicator and IRR a financial indicator. In other words, NPV gives the society's point of view and IRR the private investor's point of view. Because IRR functions as a financial indicator, its value varies with the change of financial arrangement (e.g. change of equity-loan ratio) of a capital investment. NPV, however, does not vary when financial arrangement varies, because it functions as an economic indicator. Example 4.3 illustrates this.


## Example 4.3 - an illustrative example

If an investor has an all-equity case investment (i.e. no borrowing from bank; the capital is totally provided by the investor) in which an initial capital outlay of $\$ 10,000$ leads to a receipt of $\$ 5,000$ each year for three years as shown in Table 4.3, the investor obtains an IRR of $23.4 \%$ p.a.

| End of Year | Cash-out | Cash-in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 10,000 |  |
| 1 |  | 5,000 |
| 2 |  | 5,000 |
| 3 |  | 5,000 |

Table 4.3 - Cash Flows of An Investment
If the investor uses $\$ 4,000$ as the equity of the investment and borrows $\$ 6,000$ as a loan (paying $10 \%$ interest per annum), making a total of $\$ 10,000$ serving as the initial capital outlay of the same investment, then the net annual receipts in the next three years, having deducted the three annual principal amortizations and the annual interest payments from the gross annual receipts, are calculated to be $\$ 2,400, \$ 2,600$ and $\$ 2,800$ as shown in Table 4.4 below.

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End of Year | Amount borrowed | Principal amortization | Balance of <br> Principal <br> unpaid | Interest (10\%) | Total annual Payment $(2)+(4)$ | Net annual receipt $5,000-(5)$ |
| 0 | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 2,000 | 4,000 | 600 | 2,600 | $5,000-2,600=2,400$ |
| 2 |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 400 | 2,400 | $5,000-2,400=2,600$ |
| 3 |  | 2,000 | 0 | 200 | 2,200 | $5,000-2,200=2,800$ |

Table 4.4 - Net Annual Receipts for Uniform Principal Amortization of \$2,000 for Loan \$6,000
In other words, the investor will obtain $\$ 2,400, \$ 2,600$ and $\$ 2,800$ in Years 1,2 and 3 respectively if he initially invests $\$ 4,000$ (the equity). The cash flows of the investment become those as shown in Table 4.5. It should be noted Table 4.3 and Table 4.5 represent the same investment, although they have different financial arrangements. One should have no advantage over another as far as the economic value of the investment is concerned.

| End of Year | Cash-out | Cash-in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4,000 |  |
| 1 |  | 2,400 |
| 2 |  | 2,600 |
| 3 |  | 2,800 |

Table 4.5 - Cash Flows with $\$ 4,000$ as Equity and $\$ 6,000$ as Loan
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The IRR is $41.2 \%$ p.a. for the cash flows of Table 4.5 , which is higher than $23.4 \%$ p.a. as calculated from the cash flows shown in Table 4.3. This indicates that the financial arrangement of $\$ 4,000$ equity and $\$ 6,000$ loan is financially better than the all-equity case, although the economic value of the investment is unchanged (see below). If the NPV method is used to compare these two sets of cash flows, namely, Tables 4.3 and 4.5 , or $(-10,000,5,000,5,000,5,000)$ and ( $-4,000,2,400,2,600,2,800$ ), using $\mathrm{i}=10 \%$ p.a. (the borrowing interest rate), the NPVs will be the same as shown in the following calculations:

NPV of the cash flows of Table 4.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-10,000+\frac{5,000}{(1+\mathrm{i})}+\frac{5,000}{(1+\mathrm{i})^{2}}+\frac{5,000}{(1+\mathrm{i})^{3}}=2,434.26 \tag{Eq4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

NPV of the cash flows of Table 4.5

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-4,000+\frac{2,400}{(1+\mathrm{i})}+\frac{2,600}{(1+\mathrm{i})^{2}}+\frac{2,800}{(1+\mathrm{i})^{3}}=2,434.26 \tag{Eq4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, a further case is to be investigated. If the investor uses only $\$ 1,000$ as equity and borrows $\$ 9,000$ as loan at $10 \%$ p.a. interest rate, the cash flow will become ( $-1,000,1,100,1,400,1,700$ ), and the IRR calculated is $113.1 \%$ p.a., which is much higher than $23.4 \%$ p.a. (calculated from Table 4.3 ) and also $41.2 \%$ p.a. (calculated from Table 4.5). The NPV, however, is the same (i.e. $\$ 2,434.26$ ). Verification of the NPV is left to the readers.

A mathematical proof for the NPV to remain constant in different financial arrangement situations as shown in Example 4.3 can be found from the following journal article:

Tang, S.L. and Tang, H. John (2003). The variable financial indicator IRR and the constant economic indicator NPV. The Engineering Economist. Vol. 48, No. 1, pp. 69-78.

We have seen that the IRR becomes higher if a bigger loan is borrowed, although the economic value (indicated by the NPV) of the investment remains the same in all situations. This is because the borrowing interest rate $10 \%$ p.a. is smaller than the all-equity case IRR $23.4 \%$ p.a. If the borrowing interest rate is not $10 \%$ p.a. but higher than the all-equity IRR, then an exactly opposite effect will occur, that is, the higher the loan is, the lower the IRR will be.

To summarize, the NPV of a capital investment is constant in all situations and is not affected by the variation of financial arrangements. IRR, however, varies with the change of financial arrangements. NPV is an economic indicator for a capital investment, as the economic value of an investment does not change even its financial arrangement changes. The IRR, however, is suitable for use as a financial indicator, since private investors usually like to play around with financial arrangements to optimise their rates of return.

## 5 Effect of Inflation

### 5.1 Introduction

In Chapters 3 and 4, all discussions are based on the assumption that we are living in an inflation-free world. However, such an assumption is not true. The world is not inflation-free. In this chapter, we are going to remove this unrealistic assumption, that is, the first paragraph of Section 3.2 of Chapter 3 that $i$ (real rate) is equal to $i^{\prime}$ (nominal rate or apparent rate) is no longer true.

### 5.2 Real IRR and Its Calculation in a World with Inflation

Section 4.2 of Chapter 4 shows that in an inflation-free world the real IRR can be calculated by solving Equation 4.2, which is reproduced below and renamed as Equation 5.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{1+i}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{(1+i)^{n}}=0 \tag{Eq5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, in a world with inflation, the real IRR must be calculated from Equation 5.2 as follows:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{(1+i)\left(1+f_{1}\right)}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}\left(1+f_{1}\right)\left(1+f_{2}\right)}+\cdots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{(1+i)^{n}\left(1+f_{1}\right)\left(1+f_{2}\right)+\ldots\left(1+f_{n}\right)}=0 \quad(\mathrm{Eq} 5.2)
$$
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where
$f_{1}=$ inflation expressed as a percentage in year 1
$f_{2}=$ inflation expressed as a percentage in year 2

$$
f_{n}=\text { inflation expressed as a percentage in year } n
$$

and $\quad \mathrm{NCF}_{0}=$ the actual net cash flow of year 0 (year the investment was made)
$\mathrm{NCF}_{1}=$ the actual net cash flow of year 1 in a world with inflation
$\mathrm{NCF}_{2}=$ the actual net cash flow of year 2 in a world with inflation
$\mathrm{NCF}_{n}=$ the actual net cash flow of year $n$ in a world with inflation

The effect of $i$ devalues the future cash flows. The effect of $f$ (inflation), similar to $i$, also devalues the future cash flows. The factors $(1+i)$ and $(1+f)$, therefore, are both put as denominators in Equation 5.2.

If inflation is expected to be the same in every year within the life of the project, then:

$$
f_{1}=f_{2}=\ldots=f_{\mathrm{n}}=f
$$

Equation 5.2 then becomes:
$\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{(1+i)(1+f)}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}(1+f)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{(1+i)^{n}(1+f)^{n}}=0$
$f$ can also be regarded as the average inflation rate within those $n$ years. The IRR (solution of $i$ ) calculated from Equation 5.3 is real. The apparent (or nominal) IRR can be calculated from Equation 5.4. The solution of $i^{\prime}$ from Equation 5.4 is of course apparent and not real.
$\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{1+i^{\prime}}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{\left(1+i^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{\left(1+i^{\prime}\right)^{n}}=0$

By comparing Equations 5.3 and 5.4, we can observe that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+i^{\prime}\right)=(1+i)(1+f)  \tag{Eq5.5}\\
& \text { or } \quad i^{\prime}=(1+i)(1+f)-1 \tag{Eq5.5a}
\end{align*}
$$

From Equation 5.5a, $i^{\prime}=1+i+i f+f-1$

$$
\text { i.e. } i^{\prime}=i+i f+f
$$

If $i$ and $f$ are small, then $i \times f$ is nearly equal to zero, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\prime}=i+f \tag{Eq5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Equation 5.6 is Equation 3.2 in Section 3.1 of Chapter 3. It is an approximation of Equation 5.5 a if $i$ and $f$ are small. Equation 5.5 a is the true one.

## Example 5.1

An asset was purchased four years ago at $\$ 60,000$ and had a life of 4 years. This investment resulted in actual annual cash receipts of $\$ 14,000, \$ 18,000, \$ 23,000$ and $\$ 28,000$ respectively in the past 4 years. These figures are found from the accounting record of each year in the past 4 years. The average inflation rate in these four years was $3 \%$ p.a. Find the real IRR.

## Answer:

First, find the apparent (nominal) IRR $i^{\prime}$ using Equation 5.4. We use the numerical method as described in Section 4.2 of Chapter 4 to find this $i^{\prime}$.

| End of Year | (1) NCF | $\left[\begin{array}{c} (2) \\ \left.\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}\right] \end{array}\right.$ | (3) (DCF) ${ }^{8 \%}$ $(1) \times(2)$ | $\begin{gathered} \left({ }^{(4)}\right. \\ {\left[\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | (5) (DCF) ${ }^{13 \%}$ $(1) \times(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -60,000 | 1.00000 | -60,000 | 1.00000 | -60,000 |
| 1 | 14,000 | 0.9259 | 12,963 | 0.8850 | 12,390 |
| 2 | 18,000 | 0.8573 | 15,431 | 0.7831 | 10,963 |
| 3 | 23,000 | 0.7938 | 18,257 | 0.6931 | 15,941 |
| 4 | 28,000 | 0.7350 | 20,580 | 0.6133 | 17,172 |
|  |  |  | 7,231 |  | -3,534 |

Table 5.1 - DCF Method for finding the IRR of Example 5.1

$$
i^{\prime}=8 \%+\left(\frac{7,231}{7,231+3,534}\right) \times(13-8) \%=11.36 \% \text { (i.e. apparent IRR). }
$$

Using Equation 5.5a,

$$
i^{\prime}=(1+i)(1+f)-1
$$

$$
\text { or } \quad 0.1136=(1+i)(1+0.03)-1
$$

Solving for $i$ gives $i=8.12 \%$

Therefore, the real IRR is $8.12 \%$ p.a. (answer).

### 5.3 When Is Inflation Adjustment Considered Or Not Considered?

Suppose we look back to a finished 10-years investment (2002 to 2012, say) and from a search of the accounting records we have the data as shown in Table 5.2.

| End of year | Net cash flow |
| :--- | :---: |
| $0(2002)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{0}$ (based on 2002 money value) |
| $1(2003)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{1}$ (based on 2003 money value) |
| $2(2004)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{2}$ (based on 2004 money value) |
| $: \quad:$ | $:$ |
| $10(2012)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{10}$ (based on 2012 money value) |

Table 5.2 - NCFs of money value in respective years
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The cash flows are actual transactions of those ten years so $\mathrm{NCF}_{0}$ represents the money value of 2002, $\mathrm{NCF}_{1}$ represents the money value of $2003, \mathrm{NCF}_{2}$ represents the money value of 2004 , and so on. This is only possible when the accounting records are kept because reference to the records is essential in getting these data. Example 5.1 is of this kind of data. For such cash flow data, the NPV in real terms $\left(\mathrm{NPV}_{\text {real }}\right)$ is calculated by Equation 5.7 as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{NPV}_{\text {real }}= & \frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{(1+i)\left(1+f_{1}\right)}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}\left(1+f_{1}\right)\left(1+f_{2}\right)}+\cdots \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{(1+i)^{n}\left(1+f_{1}\right)\left(1+f_{2}\right)+\ldots\left(1+f_{n}\right)} \tag{Eq5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Or if $f_{1}=f_{2}=\ldots=f_{\mathrm{n}}=f$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NPV}_{\text {real }}=\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{(1+i)(1+f)}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}(1+f)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{(1+i)^{n}(1+f)^{n}} \tag{Eq5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NPV}_{\text {real }}=\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{1+i^{\prime}}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{\left(1+i^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{n}}{\left(1+i^{\prime}\right)^{n}} \tag{Eq5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, if we have a 10 -years plan for a project investment starting in 2013 and the NCFs are estimated based on the year of planning the project -2013 as shown in Table 5.3.

| End of year | Net cash flow |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0(2013)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{0}$ (based on 2013 money value) |
| $1(2014)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{1}$ (based on 2013 money value) |
| $2(2015)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{2}$ (based on 2013 money value) |
| $: \quad:$ | $:$ |
| $10(2023)$ | $\mathrm{NCF}_{10}$ (based on 2013 money value) |
| Table 5.3 - NCFs of values at constant base year 2013 |  |

We only know the money value of 2013 well because we do the planning in this year. All the cash flows in the next 10 years are estimated based on the 2013 money value. We call these as 'constant base year prices'. In such a case, the NPV in real terms $\left(\mathrm{NPV}_{\text {real }}\right)$ is calculated by Equation 5.10 (similar to Equation 4.1 of Chapter 4) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NPV}_{\text {real }}=\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{1+i}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{10}}{(1+i)^{10}} \tag{Eq5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The NPV calculated is real because all NCFs are of constant base year prices. It can be proved mathematically. The following shows the proof.

The cash flows shown in Table 5.3 (constant base year prices) can be changed to actual transactions as shown in Table 5.2. This is done in Table 5.4.

| End of year | NCF (Actual transactions) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | NCF $_{0}$ | (based on 2013 money) |
| 1 | NCF $_{1} \times(1+f)$ | (based on 2014 money) |
| 2 | NCF $_{2} \times(1+f)^{2}$ | (based on 2015 money) |
| . | . |  |
| . | . |  |
| . |  |  |
| 10 | NCF $_{10} \times(1+f)^{10}$ (based on 2023 money) |  |

Table 5.4 - NCFs of actual transactions in respective years

Substituting these into Equation 5.8, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NPV}_{\text {real }} & =\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1} \times(1+f)}{(1+i)(1+f)}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2} \times(1+f)^{2}}{(1+i)^{2}(1+f)^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{10} \times(1+f)^{10}}{(1+i)^{10}(1+f)^{10}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{0}}{1}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{1}}{1+i}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{2}}{(1+i)^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{10}}{(1+i)^{10}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the same as Equation 5.10. So, we have completed the proof.

Therefore, inflation although influences both revenues and costs, but the effect of inflation on both revenues and costs will cancel out each other. There is no need to consider inflation for cash flows which are based on constant year prices (i.e. Table 5.3). In Example 3.4 of Chapter 3, we can easily see that the annual operation and maintenance cost $\$ 2.5$ million and the annual income $\$ 7$ million are of constant base year prices, otherwise how can they remain unchanged for 30 years? During these 30 years (life of the project), the annual operation and maintenance cost will increase with the inflation rate. The same will happen to the annual income. Inflation may indeed influence both cost and income to differing degrees, but such impacts are of short duration. Usually, the effect of inflation on both cost and income will cancel out each other in the long run to regain a balance.

### 5.4 Concluding Remarks

In planning construction projects, we can only use constant base year prices to estimate our cash flow data (i.e. Table 5.3). So we do not have to consider inflation in calculating the real IRR or real NPV, whether in an inflation-free world or in a world with inflation. Inflation adjustment is not necessary for cash flows of this kind - constant base year prices. Examples 3.4 and 3.5 of Chapter 3 and Examples 4.1 and 4.2 of Chapter 4 are examples of cash flows of constant base year prices.

However, Example 3.6 of Chapter 3 involves bank payments. We should note that all bank payments are NOT constant base year prices. But in this example we have not made any inflation adjustment. Why? It is because in this example we are using Equation 5.9 of this chapter (see Equations 3.10 and 3.11 of Chapter 3); bank interest rate is always nominal (i.e. $i^{\prime}$ ). The NPV calculated is therefore real too.

The only situation we have to be careful is Example 5.1. The cash flows in this example are those as shown in Table 5.2. For such a case, inflation adjustment is necessary. But this situation is rare. If we really come across cash flow data like this, we must make inflation adjustment.
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## 6 Cost-Volume-Profit Analysis

### 6.1 Introduction

Cost-volume-profit analysis usually involves the use of Break-even charts. Therefore, it is also called Breakeven analysis. The analysis and the break-even charts are to be fully discussed in this chapter. Examples on break-even chart applications will be illustrated for various situations of analyses in construction.

### 6.2 Variable Costs, Fixed Costs and Break-even Point

A construction company expects that its Total Revenue (TR) is large enough to cover the following costs:
a) Direct costs (e.g. materials, labour, equipment, etc.)
b) Costs of administering the company
c) Costs of marketing/advertising

So, the company expects that:
TR $>(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})+(\mathrm{c})$
Or, TR $=(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})+(\mathrm{c})+$ Profit

However, if $\mathrm{TR}=(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})+(\mathrm{c})$ then the company neither makes any profit nor losses any money. For such a case, the company is operating at Break-even Point (BEP in short). If Total Cost is denoted by TC, then BEP is the Volume (amount of output) of the company at which TR = TC. We will discuss 'volume' shortly, but before doing that we must explain what TC, total cost, is.

TC (total cost) is made up of VC (variable cost) and FC (fixed cost) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TC}=\mathrm{VC}+\mathrm{FC} \tag{Eq6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

VC (variable cost) includes direct materials, direct labour, equipment, fuel, etc. Variable cost varies with volume. Let volume $=x$ so

VC is proportional to $x$

Or $\quad \mathrm{VC}=v x$
where $v=$ variable cost per unit of $x$

FC (fixed cost) is constant, and is regardless of the level of the volume. Fixed cost includes rent, interest on investment, executive salaries, insurance, property taxes, etc. In practice, we usually talk about one year's cost when we say the fixed cost amount.

Volume, as said above, is denoted by $x$, which is the number of units of output, usually in one year's time. When volume changes, it will affect the profit or loss of the company. The following Example 6.1 illustrates this.

## Example 6.1

A construction material company makes and sells window panels. The selling price per panel is $\$ 900$. The variable cost for making the window panels is $\$ 500$ per unit. The fixed cost is $\$ 8,000,000$. Find the BEP (break-even point).

## Answer:

$p=$ selling price per unit $=\$ 900$
$v=$ variable cost per unit $=\$ 500$
$\mathrm{FC}=$ fixed cost $=\$ 8,000,000$

We can express our analysis in Table 6.1 as follows:

| Volume | $x=18,000$ | $x=20,000$ | $x=22,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TR (Total Revenues) | $\$ 900 \times 18,000$ <br> $=\$ 16,200,000$ | $\$ 900 \times 20,000$ <br> $=\$ 18,000,000$ | $\$ 900 \times 22,000$ <br> $=\$ 19,800,000$ |
| VC (Variable Cost) | $\$ 500 \times 18,000$ <br> $=\$ 9,000,000$ | $\$ 500 \times 20,000$ <br> $=\$ 10,000,000$ | $\$ 500 \times 22,000$ <br> $=\$ 11,000,000$ <br> FC (Fixed Cost) |
| $\$ 8,000,000$ | $\$ 8,000,000$ | $\$ 8,000,000$ |  |
| TC (Total Cost) | $\$ 17,000,000$ | $\$ 18,000,000$ | $\$ 19,000,000$ |
| Net Income | $(\$ 800,000)$ <br> Loss | 0 <br> BEP | $\$ 800,000$ <br> Profit |

Table 6.1 - Cost-volume-profit analysis (or Break-even analysis)

We can see that breaking-even occurs when the volume $x$ is 20,000 units. If $x$ is smaller than 20,000 units, the company will suffer a loss. If $x$ is greater than 20,000 units, the company will have a profit. For example, if this company has a total (maximum) capacity of making 25,000 units of window panels in a year, then it will have a maximum profit of $\$ 2,000,000$. Verification of it is left to the readers. Since the break-even point is at 20,000 units, we say that the BEP is at $80 \%$ of the company's capacity (i.e. $20,000 / 25,000=80 \%$ ).

### 6.3 Graphical Presentation - Break-even Chart

The Break-even Chart is a graphical presentation of TR, VC, FC and TC. Fig. 6.1 below shows the breakeven chart of Example 6.1.


Fig. 6.1 - The break-even chart for Example 6.1

### 6.4 Mathematical Presentation

We are going to derive a mathematical formula for calculating the value of x at BEP.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{TR} & =p x \\
\mathrm{TC} & =\mathrm{VC}+\mathrm{FC} \\
\mathrm{Or} \mathrm{TC} & =v x+\mathrm{FC} \\
\text { At } \mathrm{BEP}, \mathrm{TR} & =\mathrm{TC} \\
\text { So, } \quad p x & =v \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{FC}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, at BEP, $x=\frac{\mathrm{FC}}{p-v}$

Sometimes, we express the BEP as a percentage of the capacity of a company, as already explained in Example 6.1. The following formula can be used to calculate this percentage.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\% \text { capacity at } \mathrm{BEP}=\frac{\mathrm{FC}}{(p-v)(\text { total }- \text { capacity }- \text { in }- \text { units })} \times 100 \% \tag{Eq6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Equations 6.3 and 6.4 to Example 6.1, we can find the same answer as given in Section 6.2.
$p=$ selling price per unit $=\$ 900$
$v=$ variable cost per unit $=\$ 500$
FC $=$ fixed cost $=\$ 8,000,000$
Using Equation 6.3, $x=\frac{8,000,000}{900-500}=20,000$ units
Using Equation 6.4, \% capacity of the company at BEP $=\frac{8,000,000}{(900-500)(25,000)}$

$$
=0.8(\text { or } 80 \%)
$$



### 6.5 Contribution

In Example 6.1, the construction material company sells one unit of the window panels it makes and gets $\$ 900$ for it. Since the variable cost of that unit amounts to $\$ 500$, and because $\$ 900-\$ 500=\$ 400$, so $\$ 400$ remains as a contribution per unit toward paying off the fixed cost. The concept of contribution is an important one in Cost-Volume-Profit analysis. Contribution is for paying off the fixed cost. If contribution can cover fixed cost, there will be profit, and vice versa. We will see an example that applies the concept of contribution.

## Example 6.2

A subcontractor specialized in wastewater disposal makes and sells cast iron pipes, steel pipes and concrete pipes. The following variable costs and selling prices/sales volumes are obtained from the cost accounting department and sales department respectively.

| Pipe | $p$ | $v$ | Volume (\% of dollar sales) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cast iron | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $25 \%$ |
| Steel | $\$ 3,500$ | $\$ 2,500$ | $35 \%$ |
| Concrete | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 3,000$ | $40 \%$ |

The company capacity in terms of total (maximum) sales volume is $\$ 900$ million in a year. The annual fixed cost is $\$ 200$ million.
a) Find the BEP of the subcontractor,
b) Find the profit if the subcontractor is operating at $95 \%$ of its capacity.

## Answer:

Contribution per unit expressed in percentage $=[(p-v) / p] \times 100 \%$

Contribution per unit due to cast iron pipes $=[(3,000-2,000) / 3,000] \times 100 \%=33.33 \%$
Contribution per unit due to steel pipes $=[(3,500-2,500) / 3,500] \times 100 \%=28.57 \%$
Contribution per unit due to concrete pipes $=[(4,000-3,000) / 4,000] \times 100 \%=25.00 \%$

|  | Contribution |  | $\%$ of sales |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cast iron pipes | $33.33 \%$ | $\times$ | $25 \%$ | $=8.33 \%$ |
| Steel pipes | $28.57 \%$ | $\times$ | $35 \%$ | $=10.00 \%$ |
| Concrete pipes | $25.00 \%$ | $\times$ | $40 \%$ | $=10.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

This $28.33 \%$ is the total contribution per overall sales dollars.
a) $\mathrm{BEP}=\mathrm{FC} /$ Contribution $=\$ 200 \mathrm{~m} / 0.2833=\$ 706 \mathrm{~m}$

Therefore, at BEP, the subcontractor is operating at $78.4 \%$ of capacity (i.e. $706 / 900=78.4 \%$ ).
b) At $95 \%$ of capacity,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Profit } & =\mathrm{TR}-\mathrm{TC} \\
& =(900 \mathrm{~m} \times 95 \%)-\mathrm{VC}-\mathrm{FC} \\
& =855 \mathrm{~m}-810 \mathrm{~m} \times(1-0.2833)-200 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =855 \mathrm{~m}-580 \mathrm{~m}-200 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =\$ 75 \text { million }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.6 The Make-or-Buy Decision

We have discussed the break-even chart in Section 6.3. The concept of the break-even chart can be applied to many decision problems. From this section onward, we are going to see three different applications in three examples. The first one is a make-or-buy decision problem as shown in Example 6.3.

## Example 6.3

A site agent on a new construction site has to decide whether to supply concrete for his project by setting up a batching plant on his own site or to purchase concrete from a nearby ready-mixed concrete plant. He can purchase ready-mixed concrete at $\$ 400$ per cubic meter. If he wants to set up a batching plant on site, he has to spend an equivalent annual cost of $\$ 500,000$ as fixed cost, and the variable cost per cubic meter of concrete he makes is $\$ 150$. Should he set up a batching plant on site so as to make his own concrete?

The break-even chart is:


Fig. 6.2 - Break-even chart for the Make-or-Buy decision problem
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The break-even chart suggests that if the site agent requires not more than 2,000 cubic meters of concrete in one year, to buy is more attractive than to make. But if he requires more than 2,000 cubic meters of concrete in a year, then to make is more attractive than to buy.

### 6.7 Equipment Selection Decision

The second example of applying the concept of break-even chart is on equipment selection decision. We are going to see how useful a break-even chart is.

## Example 6.4

A construction company has three choices of the type of crane to be used on its sites. The choices are:
a) a fully automatic crane - this will add $\$ 900,000$ a year to its fixed cost but the variable cost (hiring of operators, fuel, etc.) per hour will only be $\$ 40$.
b) a semi-automatic crane - this will add $\$ 500,000$ a year to its fixed cost but $v$ (variable cost per hour) will be $\$ 200$, since more operators will be needed.
c) a mainly hand operated crane - this will add $\$ 200,000$ a year to its fixed cost , but v will be $\$ 500$ per hour.

Which type of crane should the company choose?
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The break-even chart is:


Fig. 6.3 - Break-even chart for the equipment selection decision problem

The break-even chart suggests that the hand operated crane has the lowest total cost up to a volume of 1,000 hours of usage in a year. In the 1,000 hours to 2,500 hours range in a year, the semi-automatic crane has the lowest total cost. At volumes over 2,500 hours of usage in a year, the fully automatic crane has the lowest total cost.

### 6.8 Engineering Scheme Choice Decision

We are going to see the third example on the application of break-even chart. In this example, formula introduced in Chapter 3 will be used.

## Example 6.5

A sewage pumping station is being designed. Three possible pumping schemes are proposed and the itemized costs of each scheme are shown below:

| Scheme number |  | Scheme A | Scheme B | Scheme C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pump | Cost of pumps (\$) | 120,000 | 190,000 | 285,000 |
|  | Life (years) | 14 | 16 | 20 |
|  | Maintenance (\$/year) | 18,000 | 16,500 | 16,000 |
| Pipe | Cost of pipes (\$) | 200,000 | 160,000 | 100,000 |
|  | Life (years) | 30 | 30 | 30 |
|  | Cost of pumping (\$/hour) | 2.00 | 1.60 | 1.20 |

What is the most economical range of pumping time in hours/year for each scheme?
(Take $i=5 \%$ p.a. and maximum pumping hours in a year $=8,760$ hours)

## Answer:

Scheme A:

Equivalent annual cost of installation and maintenance
$=\$ 120,000 \times\left[\frac{0.05(1+0.05)^{14}}{(1+0.05)^{14}-1}\right]+\$ 200,000 \times\left[\frac{0.05(1+0.05)^{30}}{(1+0.05)^{30}-1}\right]+\$ 18,000$
$=\$ 120,000 \times 0.1010+\$ 200,000 \times 0.0651+\$ 18,000=\$ 43,140$

## Scheme B:

Equivalent annual cost of installation and maintenance
$=\$ 190,000 \times\left[\frac{0.05(1+0.05)^{16}}{(1+0.05)^{16}-1}\right]+\$ 160,000 \times\left[\frac{0.05(1+0.05)^{30}}{(1+0.05)^{30}-1}\right]+\$ 16,500$
$=\$ 190,000 \times 0.0923+\$ 160,000 \times 0.0651+\$ 16,500=\$ 44,453$

## Scheme C:

Equivalent annual cost of installation and maintenance
$=\$ 285,000 \times\left[\frac{0.05(1+0.05)^{20}}{(1+0.05)^{20}-1}\right]+\$ 100,000 \times\left[\frac{0.05(1+0.05)^{30}}{(1+0.05)^{30}-1}\right]+\$ 16,000$
$=\$ 285,000 \times 0.0802+\$ 100,000 \times 0.0651+\$ 16,000=\$ 45,367$

The break-even chart is:


Fig. 6.4 - Break-even chart for the scheme choice decision problem
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In Fig. 6.4, the slope of the line for Scheme A is 2, and those for Schemes B and C are 1.6 and 1.2 respectively. The fixed costs for Schemes A, B and C are $\$ 43,140, \$ 44,453$ and $\$ 45,367$ respectively. From this break-even chart, we see that for pumping time smaller than 2,784 hours per year, Scheme A is the best. For pumping time larger than 2,784 hours per year, Scheme $C$ is the best. Scheme $B$ is never to be used because it is in no situation better than Scheme A or Scheme C.

### 6.9 Concluding Remarks

There are limitations in using cost-volume-profit analysis. It is useful only if the construction company has a good cost accounting system and adequate figures, which should be valid, accurate and readily available.

The cost-volume-profit analysis is not a tool for long range planning. Its use should be confined to only a not long duration. The analysis is usually limited to the budget period of a construction company, usually one calendar year.

The analysis presents only a simplified cost, volume and profit relationship. In reality, each is subject to outside influences and to the influences of the other two. The analysis, after all, should be regarded as a help to management decision, but not as a substitute for common sense, logical thinking and human judgment.

## 7 Financial Analysis of a Project

### 7.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we are going to see how we analyze the financial viability of a project. This chapter begins by describing the basic method employed to do financial analysis (financial analysis is different from financial statement analysis as described in Chapter 2). Then a more complicated case study will follow to show the detailed procedures of financial analysis. Readers will learn how to create an income statement and a balance sheet, the two most important financial statements as discussed in Chapters 1 and 2.

Readers should note that the two financial statements (income statement and balance sheet) are meant for the whole company for the examples in Chapters 1 and 2. However, these two financial statements discussed in this chapter are for a project only.

In a financial analysis,

1) depreciation of assets is tax deductible, and
2. interest on loan is also tax deductible.

For an all-equity investment case, there is no loan and hence no interest has to be paid so the only item that is tax deductible is depreciation. This can allow the analysis to be simpler. Example 7.1 in the next section (Section 7.2) is an example of such a case. Example 7.2 in the same section, however, is an equity-plus-loan case, and of course the analysis will be a little more complicated.

### 7.2 Basic Method of Financial Analysis

## Example 7.1-An all-equity case

A small subcontractor is considering purchasing rock drilling equipment, which has a life of four years and costs $\$ 75,000$. The average revenue generated per year is estimated to be $\$ 40,000$ coming from rock drilling related works. The expense associated with maintaining the equipment is estimated to be $\$ 15,000$ per annum. The profit tax rate is $25 \%$. Assuming straight-line depreciation for the equipment and ignoring salvage value, carry out a financial analysis for the subcontractor.

## Answer:

Assuming straight line depreciation and zero salvage value after four years, the annual depreciation of the rock drilling equipment is $\$ 18,750$ (i.e. $75,000 \div 4$ ). An income statement can be produced as shown in Table 7.1.

|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Revenue | $40,000.00$ | $40,000.00$ | $40,000.00$ | $40,000.00$ |
| Cost: Expenses | $15,000.00$ | $15,000.00$ | $15,000.00$ | $15,000.00$ |
| Depreciation | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ |
| Net Profit before Tax | $6,250.00$ | $6,250.00$ | $6,250.00$ | $6,250.00$ |
| Tax Expense (25\%) | $\underline{1,562.50}$ | $\underline{1,562.50}$ | $\underline{1,562.50}$ | $\underline{1,562.50}$ |
| Net Profit after Tax | $4,687.50$ | $4,687.50$ | $4,687.50$ | $4,687.50$ |

Table 7.1 - Income statement for financial analysis

The net profit after tax of $\$ 4,687.50$ per annum shown in the income statement, however, cannot be used to calculate the IRR. It must be adjusted such that depreciation is added back in order to avoid double counting so the DCF method will be correctly used for calculating the IRR (see the last part of Section 4.2 of Chapter 4). This step is shown in Table 7.2.
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|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Revenue | $40,000.00$ | $40,000.00$ | $40,000.00$ | $40,000.00$ |
| Cost: Expenses | $15,000.00$ | $15,000.00$ | $15,000.00$ | $15,000.00$ |
| Depreciation | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ |
| Net Profit before Tax | $6,250.00$ | $6,250.00$ | $6,250.00$ | $6,250.00$ |
| Tax Expense (25\%) | $\underline{1,562.50}$ | $\underline{1,562.50}$ | $\underline{1,562.50}$ | $\underline{1,562.50}$ |
| Net Profit after Tax | $4,687.50$ | $4,687.50$ | $4,687.50$ | $4,687.50$ |
| Add Depreciation | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ | $\underline{18,750.00}$ |
| NCF (to find IRR) | $\underline{23,437.50}$ | $\underline{23,437.50}$ | $\underline{23,437.50}$ | $\underline{23,437.50}$ |

Table 7.2 - Cash flows that are used to calculate IRR

The cash flow table for IRR calculation is shown in Table 7.3:

|  | Cash out | Cash in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $75,000.00$ |  |
| 1 |  | $23,437.50$ |
| 2 |  | $23,437.50$ |
| 3 |  | $23,437.50$ |
| 4 |  | $23,437.50$ |

Table 7.3 - All-equity case cash flows

We should note that in Table 7.2 depreciation is deducted first as cost because it is tax deductible. This step allows us to know how much the income tax payable is. In order to avoid double counting of depreciation in using the DCF method, we have to add depreciation back for the calculation of IRR.

## Example 7.2 - (Equity + Loan) Case

Repeat doing Example 7.1 if the total capital of $\$ 75,000$ is made up of $\$ 15,000$ equity and $\$ 60,000$ loan from a bank at an interest rate of $5 \%$ p.a. for a period of 3 years. The three end of year amortizations for the loan are equal, $\$ 20,000$ each time. This time the financial analysis has to be modified. Carry out the modified financial analysis.

## Answer:

The interest payments for the $\$ 60,000$ loan are calculated in a way similar to what has been discussed in Section 3.5 of Chapter 3. The calculation is shown in Table 7.4.

| End of <br> Year | Amount <br> borrowed | Principal <br> amortization | Balance <br> unamortized | Interest <br> $(5 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  |
| 1 |  | 20,000 | 40,000 |  |
| 2 |  | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |
| 3 |  | 20,000 | 0 |  |

Table 7.4 - Interest payments for a loan of $\$ 60,000$
Therefore, the modified income statement will be as follows:


We must note that interest on loan, like depreciation, is tax deductible. Therefore, we can see from Table 7.5 that interest expense has to be deducted first before tax expense is calculated. Amortization is not tax deductible because it is neither a cost of revenue nor an operating expense, and so it is considered only after the tax expense has been dealt with.

The cash flow table for IRR calculation is shown in Table 7.6:

|  | Cash out | Cash in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $15,000.00$ |  |
| 1 |  | $1,187.50$ |
| 2 |  | $1,937.50$ |
| 3 |  | $2,687.50$ |
| 4 |  | $23,437.50$ |

Table 7.6 - (Equity + Loan) Case NCF

As is explained in Section 4.4 of Chapter 4, the IRR in Example 7.2 is more than double of that in Example 7.1. This is because the all-equity IRR ( $9.56 \%$ p.a.) is much higher than the interest rate on loan (5\% p.a.). For such a case, the IRR will increase if a loan is borrowed. The NPV, however, remains stable. The NPV in Example 7.1 is about $15 \%$ lower than that in Example 7.2. They are not equal to each other (like Example 4.3 of Chapter 4) because the mathematical process has been disturbed by the subtraction of tax expense.
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As a remark to Example 7.2, the revenue and cost for each of these four years are constant base year prices (see Sections 5.3 and 5.4 of Chapter 5). However, the interest expenses and the loan amortizations in the first three years are actual transactions (not constant year based). So, in Table 7.2, the numerical figures are a mixture of real and apparent (nominal) values. The real IRR should be even higher than $20.44 \%$, because the interest expenses and the amortizations could be adjusted to some lower values due to the effect of inflation if we want to convert them to constant base year prices.

### 7.3 A Case Study on Financial Analysis

We are going to see a more complicated example of financial analysis. It is a case study on analyzing the financial viability of a new project. Besides using the basic method as described in Section 7.2 which involves mainly the income statement, balance sheet and break-even chart are also used in this case study.

### 7.3.1 The Proposed Project

The project is concerned with a special type of cement for construction use. It was initiated by a group of four professionals (the partners) - two engineers, one marketing specialist and one finance professional. One of the two engineers conducted the estimates of the new plant and equipment costs while the other estimated the cost of making this special type of cement. After completing the market study by the marketing specialist and the technical study by the two engineers, the finance person started the task of evaluating the financial viability.

### 7.3.2 Summary of Market Study

a) Market share - the marketing specialist studied that the sales of the following number of bags of special cement would be feasible in the next ten years, including the consideration of the operational growth of the project in the first few years:

> Target annual sales:

Year $1=105,000$ units ( 1 unit $=1$ bag of special cement $)$
Year $2=120,000$ units
Year $3=135,000$ units
Years $4-10=150,000$ units
(See Note at the end of this chapter)
b) Price - the estimated price of each unit $=\$ 100$ would be reasonable and competitive.
c) Selling expenses per unit $=\$ 3.33$ (e.g. promoting, advertising, etc.)

### 7.3.3 Summary of Technical Study

a) Cost of producing the cement
(i) Variable cost per unit

| Raw materials | $\$ 20.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Direct labour | $\$ 13.33$ |
| Variable overhead | $\underline{\$ 10.00}$ |
| Total variable cost per unit $=$ | $\$ 43.33$ |

(ii) Fixed cost

Depreciation per year $\$ 1,300,000$
Fixed overhead per year $\$ 500,000$
Total fixed cost= $\$ 1,800,000$
b) Capital requirements
(i) Plant-proper

Plant and equipment, buildings, etc. $\$ 13,000,000$
Land $\$ 2,250,000$
Total Plant-proper $=\$ 15,250,000$
(ii) Working capital
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Operating expenses (1 month) } & \$ 100,000 \\ \text { Accounts receivable (1 month) } & \$ 875,000 \text { (see Endnote 1) }\end{array}$
Inventory

| Raw materials (1 month) | $\$ 175,000$ (see Endnote 2) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Goods-in-process (0.5 month) | $\$ 190,000$ (see Endnote 3) |
| Finished goods (1 month) | $\underline{\$ 380,000 ~(\text { see Endnote 4) }}$ |
| Gross working capital required | $\$ 1,720,000$ |
| de credits on raw materials | $\underline{\$ 175,000 ~(\text { see Endnote 5) }}$Required working capital $=$ $\$ 1,545,000$. |

Total capital required $=(\mathrm{i})+(\mathrm{ii})=\$ 16,795,000$

## Assumptions in financial analysis:

1. Accounts receivable $=1$ month sales
2. Inventory: raw materials $=1$ month usage goods-in-process $=0.5$ month of finished goods level finished goods $=1$ month of cost of goods sold
3. Trade credits $=1$ month of raw materials purchased
4. Tax rate $=25 \%$ of net taxable income
5. Plant, equipment, etc. would follow straight-line depreciation; no depreciation on land
6. Pre-operation period $=1$ year
7. All figures in Sections 7.3.2 and 7.3.3 were based on the money value of the year that the project was planned

### 7.3.4 Pro-forma Income Statement and All-equity IRR

From the above data, the finance person prepared the pro-forma income statement and hence calculated the internal rate of return based on its performance forecasting. The pro-forma income statement was as follows (Table 7.7). All figures were constant base year prices.

| Pro-forma Project Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1. Revenue | 10,500 | ,000 | 13,500 | 5,000 | 5,000 | ,000 | 15,000 | 5,000 | 15,000 | 5,000 |
| 2. Cost of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw materials | 2,100 | 2,400 | 2,700 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Labour | 1,400 | 1,600 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Total Cost of Revenue | 3,500 | 4,000 | 4,500 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 3. Gross Profit | 7,000 | 8,000 | 9,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 4. Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Variable overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising expenses | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Production variable overhead | 1,050 | 1,200 | 1,350 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Sub-total | 1,400 | 1,600 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 4.2 Fixed overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| Fixed operating expenses | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Production fixed overhead | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Sub-total | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,400 | 4,600 | 4,800 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 5. Net Profit before Tax | 2,600 | 3,400 | 4,200 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 6. Tax Expense (25\%) | 650 | 850 | 1,050 | 1,250 | 1,250 | 1,250 | 1,250 | 1,250 | 1,250 | 1,250 |
| 7. Net Profit after Tax | 1,950 | 2,550 | 3,150 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 |

Table 7.7 - Pro-forma income statement of the proposed project ( $\times \$ 1,000$ )

Download free eBooks at bookboon.com

As explained in Section 7.2, the finance person added Depreciation to the Net Profit after Tax in order that the cash flows were suitable for calculating the IRR, as shown in Table 7.8.

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Profit after Tax | 1,950 | 2,550 | 3,150 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 |
| Add Depreciation | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| NCF (to find IRR) | 3,250 | 2,850 | 4,450 | 5,050 | 5,050 | 5,050 | 5,050 | 5,050 | 5,050 | 5,050 |

Table 7.8 - Cash flows used to calculate the IRR for the proposed Project ( $\times \$ 1,000$ )


So, the cash flow table for calculating the IRR was as follows (Table 7.9):

| End of year | Cash-out | Cash-in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 16,795 |  |
| 1 |  | 3,250 |
| 2 |  | 3,850 |
| 3 |  | 4,450 |
| 4 |  | 5,050 |
| 5 |  | 5,050 |
| 6 |  | 5,050 |
| 7 |  | 5,050 |
| 8 |  | 5,050 |
| 9 |  | $8,845^{*}$ |

Table 7.9 - All-equity cash flows of the proposed project $(\times \$ 1,000)$

* $5,050+$ salvage value $=5,050+($ land cost + working capital $)=5,050+(2,250+1,545)=8,845$

The all-equity IRR was found to be $23.48 \%$ p.a. It seemed to be a high return investment.

### 7.3.5 Sources of Financing

These financial statements (7.3.2 through 7.3.4) were sent to a few banks/creditors. All showed interest in providing the four partners with loans because the proposed project looked promising as revealed by the financial statements. From these banks/creditors, the partners chose only two sources of financing. They were (1) a foreign supplier of equipment cum creditor and (2) a local bank, as detailed below.

1) A foreign supplier of equipment cum creditor showed its willingness to supply the project's plant and equipment needs on the following terms:
a) $15 \%$ down payment,
b) balance payable in 10 equal annual principal amortizations,
c) interest on the balance of $10 \%$ p.a. payable together with amortizations,
d) secured by a mortgage of plant and equipment.
2) A local bank agreed to lend up to $60 \%$ of the value of the land to be purchased for the project under the following terms and conditions:
a) payable in 3 equal annual principal amortizations,
b) interest of $12 \%$ p.a. on outstanding balance payable coincident with amortizations,
c) secured by a mortgage on the land.

Besides the above sources (1) and (2), the partners had to pool a total resource of at least $\$ 4,395,000$ as the owners' capital so that the total capital requirement of $\$ 16,795,000$ could be satisfied. But the partners actually put $\$ 4,450,000$ into the project which was more than enough. The equity and loan components were as follows (Table 7.10):

| Equity (owners' capital) | $\$ 4,450,000$ | Provided by the partners |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loan from Foreign Supplier | $\$ 13,000,000 \times 85 \%$ <br> $=\$ 11,050,000$ | Loan for 10 years, $10 \%$ p.a. interest <br> on the balance |
| Loan from Local Bank | $\$ 2,250,000 \times 60 \%$ <br> $=\$ 1,350,000$ | Loan for the first 3 years, $12 \%$ p.a. <br> on the balance |
| Total $=\$ 16,850,000>\$ 16,795,000$, OK |  |  |

Table 7.10 - Sources of financing

### 7.3.6 Final Income Statement and IRR After Financing

Since interest payments on loans were tax deductible, so the interest payments on each loan had to be known. They were calculated and shown in Table 7.11.

| Year | Foreign Supplier |  |  | Local bank |  |  | Total interest | Total yearly amortization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal Amortization | Balance | Interest <br> (10\%) | Principal Amortization | Balance | Interest (12\%) |  |  |
| 1 | 1,105 | 11,050 | 1,105 | 450 | 1,350 | 162 | 1,267 | 1,555 |
| 2 | 1,105 | 9,945 | 995 | 450 | 900 | 108 | 1,103 | 1,555 |
| 3 | 1,105 | 8,840 | 884 | 450 | 450 | 54 | 938 | 1,555 |
| 4 | 1,105 | 7,735 | 774 |  |  |  | 774 | 1,105 |
| 5 | 1,105 | 6,630 | 663 |  |  |  | 663 | 1,105 |
| 6 | 1,105 | 5,525 | 553 |  |  |  | 553 | 1,105 |
| 7 | 1,105 | 4,420 | 442 |  |  |  | 442 | 1,105 |
| 8 | 1,105 | 3,315 | 332 |  |  |  | 332 | 1,105 |
| 9 | 1,105 | 2,210 | 221 |  |  |  | 221 | 1,105 |
| 10 | 1,105 | 1,105 | 111 | - |  |  | 111 | 1,105 |
|  | 11,050 |  |  | 1,350 |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.11 - Interest payments on loans ( $\times \$ 1,000$ )

Then, interest payments had to be incorporated into the Final Income Statement, as shown in Table 7.12.

## Final Income Statement

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Revenue | 10,500 | 12,000 | 13,500 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 2. Cost of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raw materials | 2,100 | 2,400 | 2,700 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Labour | 1,400 | 1,600 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Total Cost of Revenue | 3,500 | 4,000 | 4,500 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 3. Gross Profit | 7,000 | 8,000 | 9,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 4. Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Variable overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising expenses | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Production variable overhead | 1,050 | 1,200 | 1,350 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Sub-total | 1,400 | 1,600 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 4.2 Fixed overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| Fixed operating expenses | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Production fixed overhead | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Sub-total | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,400 | 4,600 | 4,800 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 5. Operating profit | 2,600 | 3,400 | 4,200 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 6. Interest Expense | 1,267 | 1,103 | 938 | 774 | 663 | 553 | 442 | 332 | 221 | 111 |
| 7. Net profit before Tax | 1,333 | 2,297 | 3,262 | 4,226 | 4,337 | 4,447 | 4,558 | 4,668 | 4,779 | 4,889 |
| 8. Tax Expense (25\% tax rate) | 333 | 574 | 816 | 1,057 | 1,084 | 1,112 | 1,140 | 1,167 | 1,195 | 1,222 |
| 9. Net profit after tax | 1,000 | 1,723 | 2,446 | 3,169 | 3,253 | 3,335 | 3,418 | 3,501 | 3,584 | 3,667 |
| Source of Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Add Depreciation | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| 11. NCF before Amortization | 2,300 | 3,023 | 3,746 | 4,469 | 4,553 | 4,635 | 4,718 | 4,801 | 4,884 | 4,967 |
| 12. Less Amortization | 1,555 | 1,555 | 1,555 | 1,105 | 1,105 | 1,105 | 1,105 | 1,105 | 1,105 | 1,105 |
| 13. NCF (to find IRR) | 745 | 1,468 | 2,191 | 3,364 | 3,448 | 3,530 | 3,613 | 3,696 | 3,779 | 3,862 |
| 14. Dividend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of owners' capital (assumed) | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 60\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Actual amount | 445 | 1,113 | 2,225 | 2,670 | 3,560 | 3,560 | 3,560 | 3,560 | 4,450 | 4,450 |
| 15. Balance in Source | 300 | 355 | (34) | 694 | (112) | (30) | 53 | 136 | (671) | (588) |
| 16. Retained Earnings | 300 | 655 | 621 | 1,315 | 1,203 | 1,173 | 1,226 | 1,362 | 691 | 103 |

Table 7.12 - The final income statement $(\times \$ 1,000)$

The cash flow table for calculating the final IRR was as follows (Table 7.13):

| End of year | Cash-out | Cash-in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4,395 |  |
| 1 |  | 745 |
| 2 |  | 1,468 |
| 3 |  | 2,191 |
| 4 |  | 3,364 |
| 5 |  | 3,448 |
| 6 |  | 3,530 |
| 7 |  | 3,613 |
| 8 |  | 3,696 |
| 9 |  | $7,657^{*}$ |

Table 7.13 - Equity-Plus-Loan NCF of the proposed project ( $\times \$ 1,000$ )

* $3,862+$ salvage value $=3,862+($ land cost + working capital $)=3,862+(2,250+1,545)=7,657$

The IRR ws found to be $44.93 \%$ p.a., an extremely profitable project with the said loans coming into the picture. Very good dividends could be seen from Item 14 of Table 7.12.

### 7.3.7 $\quad$ The Balance Sheet

For the balance sheet of this proposed project, it could be created based on the final income statement. It is shown in Table 7.14. The steps of creating it were as follows:
(Only the steps for Year 1 would be described; steps for other years would be the same)

Step 1: Enter 875,000 against the row Receivables
Step 2: Enter 175,000 against the row Raw materials
Step 3: Enter 190,000 against the row Goods-in-process
Step 4: Enter 380,000 against the row Finished goods
Step 5: Enter 2,250,000 against the row Land
Step 6: Enter 13,000,000 against the row Plant, equipment, buildings
Step 7: Enter $-1,300,000$ or $(1,300,000)$ against the row Less accumulated depreciation
Step 8: Enter 13,950,000, sum of Fixed Assets (Steps 5 through 7), against Sub-total
Step 9: Enter 175,000 against the row Accounts payable
Balance Sheet

| Pre-operation | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,600,000 | 1,755,000 | 1,655,000 | 1,151,000 | 1,390,000 | 1,473,000 | 1,638,000 | 1,886,000 | 2,217,000 | 1,741,000 | 243,000 |
| - | 875,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,125,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 |
| 175,000 | 175,000 | 200,000 | 225,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
|  | 190,000 | 215,000 | 245,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 | 270,000 |
| - | 380,000 | 430,000 | 490,000 | 540,000 | 540,000 | 540,000 | 540,000 | 540,000 | 540,000 | 540,000 |
| 1,775,000 | 3,375,000 | 3,500,000 | 3,236,000 | 3,700,000 | 3,783,000 | 3,948,000 | 4,196,000 | 4,527,000 | 4,051,000 | 2,553,000 |
| 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 |
| 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 13,000,000 |
| - | $(1,300,000)$ | $(2,600,000)$ | $(3,900,000)$ | $(5,200,000)$ | $(6,500,000)$ | $(7,800,000)$ | $(9,100,000)$ | $(10,400,000)$ | (11,700,000) | $(13,000,000)$ |
| 15,250,000 | 13,950,000 | 12,650,000 | 11,350,000 | 10,050,000 | 8,750,000 | 7,450,000 | 6,150,000 | 4,850,000 | 3,550,000 | 2,250,000 |
| 17,025,000 | 17,325,000 | 16,150,000 | 14,586,000 | 13,750,000 | 12,533,000 | 11,398,000 | 10,346,000 | 9,377,000 | 7,601,000 | 4,803,000 |
| 175,000 | 175,000 | 200,000 | 225,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| - | 1,555,000 | 1,555,000 | 1,555,000 | 1,105,000 | 1,105,000 | 1,105,000 | 1,105,000 | 1,105,000 | 1,105,000 | 1,105,000 |
| 175,000 | 1,730,000 | 1,755,000 | 1,780,000 | 1,355,000 | 1,355,000 | 1,355,000 | 1,355,000 | 1,355,000 | 1,355,000 | 250,000 |
| 11,050,000 | 9,945,000 | 8,840,000 | 7,735,000 | 6,630,000 | 5,525,000 | 4,420,000 | 3,315,000 | 2,210,000 | 1,105,000 | - |
| 1,350,000 | 900,000 | 450,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12,400,000 | 10,845,000 | 9,290,000 | 7,735,000 | 6,630,000 | 5,525,000 | 4,420,000 | 3,315,000 | 2,210,000 | 1,105,000 | - |
| 12,575,000 | 12,575,000 | 11,045,000 | 9,515,000 | 7,985,000 | 6,880,000 | 5,775,000 | 4,670,000 | 3,565,000 | 2,460,000 | 250,000 |
| 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 | 4,450,000 |
| - | 300,000 | 655,000 | 621,000 | 1,315,000 | 1,203,000 | 1,173,000 | 1,226,000 | 1,362,000 | 691,000 | 103,000 |
| 4,450,000 | 4,750,000 | 5,105,000 | 5,071,000 | 5,765,000 | 5,653,000 | 5,623,000 | 5,676,000 | 5,812,000 | 5,141,000 | 4,553,000 |
| 17,025,000 | 17,325,000 | 16,150,000 | 14,586,000 | 13,750,000 | 12,533,000 | 11,398,000 | 10,346,000 | 9,377,000 | 7,601,000 | 4,803,000 |

Step 10: Enter 1,555,000 against the row Current maturity
Step 11: Enter 1,770,000, sum of Current Liabilities (Steps 9 and 10), against Sub-total
Step 12: Enter 9,945,000 against the row Foreign loan
Step 13: Enter 900,000 against the row Local loan
Step 14: Enter 10,845,000, sum of Long-term Liabilities (Steps 12 and 13) against Sub-total
Step 15: Enter 12,575,000, sum of two sub-totals (Steps 11 and 14) against Total Liabilities
Step 16: Enter 4,450,000 against the row Capital stock
Step 17: Enter 300,000 against the row Retained earnings (see Table 7.12)
Step 18: Enter 4,750,000, sum of Equity (Steps 16 and 17) against Total Equity
Step 19: Enter 17,325,000, sum of Equity + Total Liabilities (Steps 18 and 15) at the last row
Step 20: Copy $17,325,000$ as Total Assets at the middle of balance sheet (see Equation 1.1)
Step 21: Enter 3,375,000 (i.e. 17,325,000-13,950,000) against Sub-total of Current Assets
Step 22: Enter 1,755,000 (i.e. 3,375,000-875,000-175,000-190,000-380,000) as Cash

So, the column for Year 1 of the balance sheet had been completed. The same method was applied to all other columns so a complete balance sheet (Table 7.14) could be obtained.

### 7.3.8 The Break-even Chart

The break-even chart for the $4^{\text {th }}$ year of operation was as shown in Fig. 7.1 next page. It was drawn by referencing to Year 4 column of Table 7.12 (the final income statement). Year 4 was chosen because it was the first year of full (100\%) operation. In the break-even chart (Fig. 7.1), the revenue line is represented by $y=100 x$. The VC (variable cost) line is represented by $y=46.66 x$, because the total variable cost for producing 150,000 units of cement bags was $\$ 7,000,000$ (see Table 7.12). The FC+VC (fixed cost + variable cost) line is represented by $y=46.66 x+1,700,000$ because the fixed cost (excluding depreciation) was $\$ 1,700,000$ in the $4^{\text {th }}$ year. The next line is including depreciation, and is represented by $y=46.66 x+3,000,000$. The next line is, besides VC, FC and depreciation, including interest expense also, and is represented by $y=46.66 x+3,774,000$, because the interest expense in the $4^{\text {th }}$ year was $\$ 774,000$. The next line (the last one) is, besides all, including the tax expense. It is represented by $y=46.66 x+4,831,000$ because $\$ 1,057,000$ of tax was added to the fixed cost.

The revenue line intersects with the other five lines at five different points, including the one at the origin. If all costs (including tax expense) were considered, the breakeven point was at a volume of 90,581 units. Therefore, the BEP was at $60.4 \%$ capacity of the proposed project (i.e. $90,581 / 150,000$ ) in the $4^{\text {th }}$ year of operation. Readers may find out the break-even points of other years of operation by themselves.


Fig. 7.1 - The break-even chart for the 4th year of operation

Note: For a medium scale project, the time horizon used for financial analysis is usually ten years (i.e. $\mathrm{n}=10$ years). For small scale projects, n is taken as 4 or 5 years. For large scale projects, n is taken as 25 or 30 years. For huge infrastructure projects, n can be taken as long as 50 or 60 years.

The project described in Section 7.3 is considered as medium scale and so n is taken as 10 years.

## 8 Appendix

|  | $(1+i)^{=}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{7}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{2}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i=1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0100 | 0.9901 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9901 | 1.0100 |
| 2 | 1.0201 | 0.9803 | 2.0100 | 0.4975 | 1.9704 | 0.5075 |
| 3 | 1.0303 | 0.9706 | 3.0301 | 0.3300 | 2.9410 | 0.3400 |
| 4 | 1.0406 | 0.9610 | 4.0604 | 0.2463 | 3.9020 | 0.2563 |
| 5 | 1.0510 | 0.9515 | 5.1010 | 0.1960 | 4.8534 | 0.2060 |
| 6 | 1.0615 | 0.9420 | 6.1520 | 0.1625 | 5.7955 | 0.1725 |
| 7 | 1.0721 | 0.9327 | 7.2135 | 0.1386 | 6.7282 | 0.1486 |
| 8 | 1.0829 | 0.9235 | 8.2857 | 0.1207 | 7.6517 | 0.1307 |
| 9 | 1.0937 | 0.9143 | 9.3685 | 0.1067 | 8.5660 | 0.1167 |
| 10 | 1.1046 | 0.9053 | 10.4622 | 0.0956 | 9.4713 | 0.1056 |
| 11 | 1.1157 | 0.8963 | 11.5668 | 0.0865 | 10.3676 | 0.0965 |
| 12 | 1.1268 | 0.8874 | 12.6825 | 0.0788 | 11.2551 | 0.0888 |
| 13 | 1.1381 | 0.8787 | 13.8093 | 0.0724 | 12.1337 | 0.0824 |
| 14 | 1.1495 | 0.8700 | 14.9474 | 0.0669 | 13.0037 | 0.0769 |
| 15 | 1.1610 | 0.8613 | 16.0969 | 0.0621 | 13.8651 | 0.0721 |
| 16 | 1.1726 | 0.8528 | 17.2579 | 0.0579 | 14.7179 | 0.0679 |
| 17 | 1.1843 | 0.8444 | 18.4304 | 0.0543 | 15.5623 | 0.0643 |
| 18 | 1.1961 | 0.8360 | 19.6147 | 0.0510 | 16.3983 | 0.0610 |
| 19 | 1.2081 | 0.8277 | 20.8109 | 0.0481 | 17.2260 | 0.0581 |
| 20 | 1.2202 | 0.8195 | 22.0190 | 0.0454 | 18.0456 | 0.0554 |
| 21 | 1.2324 | 0.8114 | 23.2392 | 0.0430 | 18.8570 | 0.0530 |
| 22 | 1.2447 | 0.8034 | 24.4716 | 0.0409 | 19.6604 | 0.0509 |
| 23 | 1.2572 | 0.7954 | 25.7163 | 0.0389 | 20.4558 | 0.0489 |
| 24 | 1.2697 | 0.7876 | 26.9735 | 0.0371 | 21.2434 | 0.0471 |
| 25 | 1.2824 | 0.7798 | 28.2432 | 0.0354 | 22.0232 | 0.0454 |
| $n$ | $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{2 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0200 | 0.9804 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9804 | 1.0200 |
| 2 | 1.0404 | 0.9612 | 2.0200 | 0.4950 | 1.9416 | 0.5150 |
| 3 | 1.0612 | 0.9423 | 3.0604 | 0.3268 | 2.8839 | 0.3468 |
| 4 | 1.0824 | 0.9238 | 4.1216 | 0.2426 | 3.8077 | 0.2626 |
| 5 | 1.1041 | 0.9057 | 5.2040 | 0.1922 | 4.7135 | 0.2122 |
| 6 | 1.1262 | 0.8880 | 6.3081 | 0.1585 | 5.6014 | 0.1785 |
| 7 | 1.1487 | 0.8706 | 7.4343 | 0.1345 | 6.4720 | 0.1545 |
| 8 | 1.1717 | 0.8535 | 8.5830 | 0.1165 | 7.3255 | 0.1365 |
| 9 | 1.1951 | 0.8368 | 9.7546 | 0.1025 | 8.1622 | 0.1225 |
| 10 | 1.2190 | 0.8203 | 10.9497 | 0.0913 | 8.9826 | 0.1113 |
| 11 | 1.2434 | 0.8043 | 12.1687 | 0.0822 | 9.7868 | 0.1022 |
| 12 | 1.2682 | 0.7885 | 13.4121 | 0.0746 | 10.5753 | 0.0946 |
| 13 | 1.2936 | 0.7730 | 14.6803 | 0.0681 | 11.3484 | 0.0881 |
| 14 | 1.3195 | 0.7579 | 15.9739 | 0.0626 | 12.1062 | 0.0826 |
| 15 | 1.3459 | 0.7430 | 17.2934 | 0.0578 | 12.8493 | 0.0778 |
| 16 | 1.3728 | 0.7284 | 18.6393 | 0.0537 | 13.5777 | 0.0737 |
| 17 | 1.4002 | 0.7142 | 20.0121 | 0.0500 | 14.2919 | 0.0700 |
| 18 | 1.4282 | 0.7002 | 21.4123 | 0.0467 | 14.9920 | 0.0667 |
| 19 | 1.4568 | 0.6864 | 22.8406 | 0.0438 | 15.6785 | 0.0638 |
| 20 | 1.4859 | 0.6730 | 24.2974 | 0.0412 | 16.3514 | 0.0612 |
| 21 | 1.5157 | 0.6598 | 25.7833 | 0.0388 | 17.0112 | 0.0588 |
| 22 | 1.5460 | 0.6468 | 27.2990 | 0.0366 | 17.6580 | 0.0566 |
| 23 | 1.5769 | 0.6342 | 28.8450 | 0.0347 | 18.2922 | 0.0547 |
| 24 | 1.6084 | 0.6217 | 30.4219 | 0.0329 | 18.9139 | 0.0529 |
| 25 | 1.6406 | 0.6095 | 32.0303 | 0.0312 | 19.5235 | 0.0512 |


|  | $(1+i)^{*}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i=3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0300 | 0.9709 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9709 | 1.0300 |
| 2 | 1.0609 | 0.9426 | 2.0300 | 0.4926 | 1.9135 | 0.5226 |
| 3 | 1.0927 | 0.9151 | 3.0909 | 0.3235 | 2.8286 | 0.3535 |
| 4 | 1.1255 | 0.8885 | 4.1836 | 0.2390 | 3.7171 | 0.2690 |
| 5 | 1.1593 | 0.8626 | 5.3091 | 0.1884 | 4.5797 | 0.2184 |
| 6 | 1.1941 | 0.8375 | 6.4684 | 0.1546 | 5.4172 | 0.1846 |
| 7 | 1.2299 | 0.8131 | 7.6625 | 0.1305 | 6.2303 | 0.1605 |
| 8 | 1.2668 | 0.7894 | 8.8923 | 0.1125 | 7.0197 | 0.1425 |
| 9 | 1.3048 | 0.7664 | 10.1591 | 0.0984 | 7.7861 | 0.1284 |
| 10 | 1.3439 | 0.7441 | 11.4639 | 0.0872 | 8.5302 | 0.1172 |
| 11 | 1.3842 | 0.7224 | 12.8078 | 0.0781 | 9.2526 | 0.1081 |
| 12 | 1.4258 | 0.7014 | 14.1920 | 0.0705 | 9.9540 | 0.1005 |
| 13 | 1.4685 | 0.6810 | 15.6178 | 0.0640 | 10.6350 | 0.0940 |
| 14 | 1.5126 | 0.6611 | 17.0863 | 0.0585 | 11.2961 | 0.0885 |
| 15 | 1.5580 | 0.6419 | 18.5989 | 0.0538 | 11.9379 | 0.0838 |
| 16 | 1.6047 | 0.6232 | 20.1569 | 0.0496 | 12.5611 | 0.0796 |
| 17 | 1.6528 | 0.6050 | 21.7616 | 0.0460 | 13.1661 | 0.0760 |
| 18 | 1.7024 | 0.5874 | 23.4144 | 0.0427 | 13.7535 | 0.0727 |
| 19 | 1.7535 | 0.5703 | 25.1169 | 0.0398 | 14.3238 | 0.0698 |
| 20 | 1.8061 | 0.5537 | 26.8704 | 0.0372 | 14.8775 | 0.0672 |
| 21 | 1.8603 | 0.5375 | 28.6765 | 0.0349 | 15.4150 | 0.0649 |
| 22 | 1.9161 | 0.5219 | 30.5368 | 0.0327 | 15.9369 | 0.0627 |
| 23 | 1.9736 | 0.5067 | 32.4529 | 0.0308 | 16.4436 | 0.0608 |
| 24 | 2.0328 | 0.4919 | 34.4265 | 0.0290 | 16.9355 | 0.0590 |
| 25 | 2.0938 | 0.4776 | 36.4593 | 0.0274 | 17.4131 | 0.0574 |
| $n$ | $i=4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0400 | 0.9615 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9615 | 1.0400 |
| 2 | 1.0816 | 0.9246 | 2.0400 | 0.4902 | 1.8861 | 0.5302 |
| 3 | 1.1249 | 0.8890 | 3.1216 | 0.3203 | 2.7751 | 0.3603 |
| 4 | 1.1699 | 0.8548 | 4.2465 | 0.2355 | 3.6299 | 0.2755 |
| 5 | 1.2167 | 0.8219 | 5.4163 | 0.1846 | 4.4518 | 0.2246 |
| 6 | 1.2653 | 0.7903 | 6.6330 | 0.1508 | 5.2421 | 0.1908 |
| 7 | 1.3159 | 0.7599 | 7.8983 | 0.1266 | 6.0021 | 0.1666 |
| 8 | 1.3686 | 0.7307 | 9.2142 | 0.1085 | 6.7327 | 0.1485 |
| 9 | 1.4233 | 0.7026 | 10.5828 | 0.0945 | 7.4353 | 0.1345 |
| 10 | 1.4802 | 0.6756 | 12.0061 | 0.0833 | 8.1109 | 0.1233 |
| 11 | 1.5395 | 0.6496 | 13.4864 | 0.0741 | 8.7605 | 0.1141 |
| 12 | 1.6010 | 0.6246 | 15.0258 | 0.0666 | 9.3851 | 0.1066 |
| 13 | 1.6651 | 0.6006 | 16.6268 | 0.0601 | 9.9856 | 0.1001 |
| 14 | 1.7317 | 0.5775 | 18.2919 | 0.0547 | 10.5631 | 0.0947 |
| 15 | 1.8009 | 0.5553 | 20.0236 | 0.0499 | 11.1184 | 0.0899 |
| 16 | 1.8730 | 0.5339 | 21.8245 | 0.0458 | 11.6523 | 0.0858 |
| 17 | 1.9479 | 0.5134 | 23.6975 | 0.0422 | 12.1657 | 0.0822 |
| 18 | 2.0258 | 0.4936 | 25.6454 | 0.0390 | 12.6593 | 0.0790 |
| 19 | 2.1068 | 0.4746 | 27.6712 | 0.0361 | 13.1339 | 0.0761 |
| 20 | 2.1911 | 0.4564 | 29.7781 | 0.0336 | 13.5903 | 0.0736 |
| 21 | 2.2788 | 0.4388 | 31.9692 | 0.0313 | 14.0292 | 0.0713 |
| 22 | 2.3699 | 0.4220 | 34.2480 | 0.0292 | 14.4511 | 0.0692 |
| 23 | 2.4647 | 0.4057 | 36.6179 | 0.0273 | 14.8568 | 0.0673 |
| 24 | 2.5633 | 0.3901 | 39.0826 | 0.0256 | 15.2470 | 0.0656 |
| 25 | 2.6658 | 0.3751 | 41.6459 | 0.0240 | 15.6221 | 0.0640 |


|  | $(1+i)^{n}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{2}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i(1+i)^{2}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i=5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0500 | 0.9524 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9524 | 1.0500 |
| 2 | 1.1025 | 0.9070 | 2.0500 | 0.4878 | 1.8594 | 0.5378 |
| 3 | 1.1576 | 0.8638 | 3.1525 | 0.3172 | 2.7232 | 0.3672 |
| 4 | 1.2155 | 0.8227 | 4.3101 | 0.2320 | 3.5460 | 0.2820 |
| 5 | 1.2763 | 0.7835 | 5.5256 | 0.1810 | 4.3295 | 0.2310 |
| 6 | 1.3401 | 0.7462 | 6.8019 | 0.1470 | 5.0757 | 0.1970 |
| 7 | 1.4071 | 0.7107 | 8.1420 | 0.1228 | 5.7864 | 0.1728 |
| 8 | 1.4775 | 0.6768 | 9.5491 | 0.1047 | 6.4632 | 0.1547 |
| 9 | 1.5513 | 0.6446 | 11.0266 | 0.0907 | 7.1078 | 0.1407 |
| 10 | 1.6289 | 0.6139 | 12.5779 | 0.0795 | 7.7217 | 0.1295 |
| 11 | 1.7103 | 0.5847 | 14.2068 | 0.0704 | 8.3064 | 0.1204 |
| 12 | 1.7959 | 0.5568 | 15.9171 | 0.0628 | 8.8633 | 0.1128 |
| 13 | 1.8856 | 0.5303 | 17.7130 | 0.0565 | 9.3936 | 0.1065 |
| 14 | 1.9799 | 0.5051 | 19.5986 | 0.0510 | 9.8986 | 0.1010 |
| 15 | 2.0789 | 0.4810 | 21.5786 | 0.0463 | 10.3797 | 0.0963 |
| 16 | 2.1829 | 0.4581 | 23.6575 | 0.0423 | 10.8378 | 0.0923 |
| 17 | 2.2920 | 0.4363 | 25.8404 | 0.0387 | 11.2741 | 0.0887 |
| 18 | 2.4066 | 0.4155 | 28.1324 | 0.0355 | 11.6896 | 0.0855 |
| 19 | 2.5270 | 0.3957 | 30.5390 | 0.0327 | 12.0853 | 0.0827 |
| 20 | 2.6533 | 0.3769 | 33.0660 | 0.0302 | 12.4622 | 0.0802 |
| 21 | 2.7860 | 0.3589 | 35.7193 | 0.0280 | 12.8212 | 0.0780 |
| 22 | 2.9253 | 0.3418 | 38.5052 | 0.0260 | 13.1630 | 0.0760 |
| 23 | 3.0715 | 0.3256 | 41.4305 | 0.0241 | 13.4886 | 0.0741 |
| 24 | 3.2251 | 0.3101 | 44.5020 | 0.0225 | 13.7986 | 0.0725 |
| 25 | 3.3864 | 0.2953 | 47.7271 | 0.0210 | 14.0939 | 0.0710 |
| $n$ | $i=6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0600 | 0.9434 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9434 | 1.0600 |
| 2 | 1.1236 | 0.8900 | 2.0600 | 0.4854 | 1.8334 | 0.5454 |
| 3 | 1.1910 | 0.8396 | 3.1836 | 0.3141 | 2.6730 | 0.3741 |
| 4 | 1.2625 | 0.7921 | 4.3746 | 0.2286 | 3.4651 | 0.2886 |
| 5 | 1.3382 | 0.7473 | 5.6371 | 0.1774 | 4.2124 | 0.2374 |
| 6 | 1.4185 | 0.7050 | 6.9753 | 0.1434 | 4.9173 | 0.2034 |
| 7 | 1.5036 | 0.6651 | 8.3938 | 0.1191 | 5.5824 | 0.1791 |
| 8 | 1.5938 | 0.6274 | 9.8975 | 0.1010 | 6.2098 | 0.1610 |
| 9 | 1.6895 | 0.5919 | 11.4913 | 0.0870 | 6.8017 | 0.1470 |
| 10 | 1.7908 | 0.5584 | 13.1808 | 0.0759 | 7.3601 | 0.1359 |
| 11 | 1.8983 | 0.5268 | 14.9716 | 0.0668 | 7.8869 | 0.1268 |
| 12 | 2.0122 | 0.4970 | 16.8699 | 0.0593 | 8.3838 | 0.1193 |
| 13 | 2.1329 | 0.4688 | 18.8821 | 0.0530 | 8.8527 | 0.1130 |
| 14 | 2.2609 | 0.4423 | 21.0151 | 0.0476 | 9.2950 | 0.1076 |
| 15 | 2.3966 | 0.4173 | 23.2760 | 0.0430 | 9.7122 | 0.1030 |
| 16 | 2.5404 | 0.3936 | 25.6725 | 0.0390 | 10.1059 | 0.0990 |
| 17 | 2.6928 | 0.3714 | 28.2129 | 0.0354 | 10.4773 | 0.0954 |
| 18 | 2.8543 | 0.3503 | 30.9057 | 0.0324 | 10.8276 | 0.0924 |
| 19 | 3.0256 | 0.3305 | 33.7600 | 0.0296 | 11.1581 | 0.0896 |
| 20 | 3.2071 | 0.3118 | 36.7856 | 0.0272 | 11.4699 | 0.0872 |
| 21 | 3.3996 | 0.2942 | 39.9927 | 0.0250 | 11.7641 | 0.0850 |
| 22 | 3.6035 | 0.2775 | 43.3923 | 0.0230 | 12.0416 | 0.0830 |
| 23 | 3.8197 | 0.2618 | 46.9958 | 0.0213 | 12.3034 | 0.0813 |
| 24 | 4.0489 | 0.2470 | 50.8156 | 0.0197 | 12.5504 | 0.0797 |
| 25 | 4.2919 | 0.2330 | 54.8645 | 0.0182 | 12.7834 | 0.0782 |


|  | $(1+i)^{n}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{7}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{-}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{2}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i=7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0700 | 0.9346 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9346 | 1.0700 |
| 2 | 1.1449 | 0.8734 | 2.0700 | 0.4831 | 1.8080 | 0.5531 |
| 3 | 1.2250 | 0.8163 | 3.2149 | 0.3111 | 2.6243 | 0.3811 |
| 4 | 1.3108 | 0.7629 | 4.4399 | 0.2252 | 3.3872 | 0.2952 |
| 5 | 1.4026 | 0.7130 | 5.7507 | 0.1739 | 4.1002 | 0.2439 |
| 6 | 1.5007 | 0.6663 | 7.1533 | 0.1398 | 4.7665 | 0.2098 |
| 7 | 1.6058 | 0.6227 | 8.6540 | 0.1156 | 5.3893 | 0.1856 |
| 8 | 1.7182 | 0.5820 | 10.2598 | 0.0975 | 5.9713 | 0.1675 |
| 9 | 1.8385 | 0.5439 | 11.9780 | 0.0835 | 6.5152 | 0.1535 |
| 10 | 1.9672 | 0.5083 | 13.8164 | 0.0724 | 7.0236 | 0.1424 |
| 11 | 2.1049 | 0.4751 | 15.7836 | 0.0634 | 7.4987 | 0.1334 |
| 12 | 2.2522 | 0.4440 | 17.8885 | 0.0559 | 7.9427 | 0.1259 |
| 13 | 2.4098 | 0.4150 | 20.1406 | 0.0497 | 8.3577 | 0.1197 |
| 14 | 2.5785 | 0.3878 | 22.5505 | 0.0443 | 8.7455 | 0.1143 |
| 15 | 2.7590 | 0.3624 | 25.1290 | 0.0398 | 9.1079 | 0.1098 |
| 16 | 2.9522 | 0.3387 | 27.8881 | 0.0359 | 9.4466 | 0.1059 |
| 17 | 3.1588 | 0.3166 | 30.8402 | 0.0324 | 9.7632 | 0.1024 |
| 18 | 3.3799 | 0.2959 | 33.9990 | 0.0294 | 10.0591 | 0.0994 |
| 19 | 3.6165 | 0.2765 | 37.3790 | 0.0268 | 10.3356 | 0.0968 |
| 20 | 3.8697 | 0.2584 | 40.9955 | 0.0244 | 10.5940 | 0.0944 |
| 21 | 4.1406 | 0.2415 | 44.8652 | 0.0223 | 10.8355 | 0.0923 |
| 22 | 4.4304 | 0.2257 | 49.0057 | 0.0204 | 11.0612 | 0.0904 |
| 23 | 4.7405 | 0.2109 | 53.4361 | 0.0187 | 11.2722 | 0.0887 |
| 24 | 5.0724 | 0.1971 | 58.1767 | 0.0172 | 11.4693 | 0.0872 |
| 25 | 5.4274 | 0.1842 | 63.2490 | 0.0158 | 11.6536 | 0.0858 |
| $n$ | $i=8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0800 | 0.9259 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9259 | 1.0800 |
| 2 | 1.1664 | 0.8573 | 2.0800 | 0.4808 | 1.7833 | 0.5608 |
| 3 | 1.2597 | 0.7938 | 3.2464 | 0.3080 | 2.5771 | 0.3880 |
| 4 | 1.3605 | 0.7350 | 4.5061 | 0.2219 | 3.3121 | 0.3019 |
| 5 | 1.4693 | 0.6806 | 5.8666 | 0.1705 | 3.9927 | 0.2505 |
| 6 | 1.5869 | 0.6302 | 7.3359 | 0.1363 | 4.6229 | 0.2163 |
| 7 | 1.7138 | 0.5835 | 8.9228 | 0.1121 | 5.2064 | 0.1921 |
| 8 | 1.8509 | 0.5403 | 10.6366 | 0.0940 | 5.7466 | 0.1740 |
| 9 | 1.9990 | 0.5002 | 12.4876 | 0.0801 | 6.2469 | 0.1601 |
| 10 | 2.1589 | 0.4632 | 14.4866 | 0.0690 | 6.7101 | 0.1490 |
| 11 | 2.3316 | 0.4289 | 16.6455 | 0.0601 | 7.1390 | 0.1401 |
| 12 | 2.5182 | 0.3971 | 18.9771 | 0.0527 | 7.5361 | 0.1327 |
| 13 | 2.7196 | 0.3677 | 21.4953 | 0.0465 | 7.9038 | 0.1265 |
| 14 | 2.9372 | 0.3405 | 24.2149 | 0.0413 | 8.2442 | 0.1213 |
| 15 | 3.1722 | 0.3152 | 27.1521 | 0.0368 | 8.5595 | 0.1168 |
| 16 | 3.4259 | 0.2919 | 30.3243 | 0.0330 | 8.8514 | 0.1130 |
| 17 | 3.7000 | 0.2703 | 33.7502 | 0.0296 | 9.1216 | 0.1096 |
| 18 | 3.9960 | 0.2502 | 37.4502 | 0.0267 | 9.3719 | 0.1067 |
| 19 | 4.3157 | 0.2317 | 41.4463 | 0.0241 | 9.6036 | 0.1041 |
| 20 | 4.6610 | 0.2145 | 45.7620 | 0.0219 | 9.8181 | 0.1019 |
| 21 | 5.0338 | 0.1987 | 50.4229 | 0.0198 | 10.0168 | 0.0998 |
| 22 | 5.4365 | 0.1839 | 55.4568 | 0.0180 | 10.2007 | 0.0980 |
| 23 | 5.8715 | 0.1703 | 60.8933 | 0.0164 | 10.3711 | 0.0964 |
| 24 | 6.3412 | 0.1577 | 66.7648 | 0.0150 | 10.5288 | 0.0950 |
| 25 | 6.8485 | 0.1460 | 73.1059 | 0.0137 | 10.6748 | 0.0937 |


| $n$ | $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{9 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0900 | 0.9174 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9174 | 1.0900 |
| 2 | 1.1881 | 0.8417 | 2.0900 | 0.4785 | 1.7591 | 0.5685 |
| 3 | 1.2950 | 0.7722 | 3.2781 | 0.3051 | 2.5313 | 0.3951 |
| 4 | 1.4116 | 0.7084 | 4.5731 | 0.2187 | 3.2397 | 0.3087 |
| 5 | 1.5386 | 0.6499 | 5.9847 | 0.1671 | 3.8897 | 0.2571 |
| 6 | 1.6771 | 0.5963 | 7.5233 | 0.1329 | 4.4859 | 0.2229 |
| 7 | 1.8280 | 0.5470 | 9.2004 | 0.1087 | 5.0330 | 0.1987 |
| 8 | 1.9926 | 0.5019 | 11.0285 | 0.0907 | 5.5348 | 0.1807 |
| 9 | 2.1719 | 0.4604 | 13.0210 | 0.0768 | 5.9952 | 0.1668 |
| 10 | 2.3674 | 0.4224 | 15.1929 | 0.0658 | 6.4177 | 0.1558 |
| 11 | 2.5804 | 0.3875 | 17.5603 | 0.0569 | 6.8052 | 0.1469 |
| 12 | 2.8127 | 0.3555 | 20.1407 | 0.0497 | 7.1607 | 0.1397 |
| 13 | 3.0658 | 0.3262 | 22.9534 | 0.0436 | 7.4869 | 0.1336 |
| 14 | 3.3417 | 0.2992 | 26.0192 | 0.0384 | 7.7862 | 0.1284 |
| 15 | 3.6425 | 0.2745 | 29.3609 | 0.0341 | 8.0607 | 0.1241 |
| 16 | 3.9703 | 0.2519 | 33.0034 | 0.0303 | 8.3126 | 0.1203 |
| 17 | 4.3276 | 0.2311 | 36.9737 | 0.0270 | 8.5436 | 0.1170 |
| 18 | 4.7171 | 0.2120 | 41.3013 | 0.0242 | 8.7556 | 0.1142 |
| 19 | 5.1417 | 0.1945 | 46.0185 | 0.0217 | 8.9501 | 0.1117 |
| 20 | 5.6044 | 0.1784 | 51.1601 | 0.0195 | 9.1285 | 0.1095 |
| 21 | 6.1088 | 0.1637 | 56.7645 | 0.0176 | 9.2922 | 0.1076 |
| 22 | 6.6586 | 0.1502 | 62.8733 | 0.0159 | 9.4424 | 0.1059 |
| 23 | 7.2579 | 0.1378 | 69.5319 | 0.0144 | 9.5802 | 0.1044 |
| 24 | 7.9111 | 0.1264 | 76.7898 | 0.0130 | 9.7066 | 0.1030 |
| 25 | 8.6231 | 0.1160 | 84.7009 | 0.0118 | 9.8226 | 0.1018 |
| $n$ | $i=10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1000 | 0.9091 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9091 | 1.1000 |
| 2 | 1.2100 | 0.8264 | 2.1000 | 0.4762 | 1.7355 | 0.5762 |
| 3 | 1.3310 | 0.7513 | 3.3100 | 0.3021 | 2.4869 | 0.4021 |
| 4 | 1.4641 | 0.6830 | 4.6410 | 0.2155 | 3.1699 | 0.3155 |
| 5 | 1.6105 | 0.6209 | 6.1051 | 0.1638 | 3.7908 | 0.2638 |
| 6 | 1.7716 | 0.5645 | 7.7156 | 0.1296 | 4.3553 | 0.2296 |
| 7 | 1.9487 | 0.5132 | 9.4872 | 0.1054 | 4.8684 | 0.2054 |
| 8 | 2.1436 | 0.4665 | 11.4359 | 0.0874 | 5.3349 | 0.1874 |
| 9 | 2.3579 | 0.4241 | 13.5795 | 0.0736 | 5.7590 | 0.1736 |
| 10 | 2.5937 | 0.3855 | 15.9374 | 0.0627 | 6.1446 | 0.1627 |
| 11 | 2.8531 | 0.3505 | 18.5312 | 0.0540 | 6.4951 | 0.1540 |
| 12 | 3.1384 | 0.3186 | 21.3843 | 0.0468 | 6.8137 | 0.1468 |
| 13 | 3.4523 | 0.2897 | 24.5227 | 0.0408 | 7.1034 | 0.1408 |
| 14 | 3.7975 | 0.2633 | 27.9750 | 0.0357 | 7.3667 | 0.1357 |
| 15 | 4.1772 | 0.2394 | 31.7725 | 0.0315 | 7.6061 | 0.1315 |
| 16 | 4.5950 | 0.2176 | 35.9497 | 0.0278 | 7.8237 | 0.1278 |
| 17 | 5.0545 | 0.1978 | 40.5447 | 0.0247 | 8.0216 | 0.1247 |
| 18 | 5.5599 | 0.1799 | 45.5992 | 0.0219 | 8.2014 | 0.1219 |
| 19 | 6.1159 | 0.1635 | 51.1591 | 0.0195 | 8.3649 | 0.1195 |
| 20 | 6.7275 | 0.1486 | 57.2750 | 0.0175 | 8.5136 | 0.1175 |
| 21 | 7.4002 | 0.1351 | 64.0025 | 0.0156 | 8.6487 | 0.1156 |
| 22 | 8.1403 | 0.1228 | 71.4027 | 0.0140 | 8.7715 | 0.1140 |
| 23 | 8.9543 | 0.1117 | 79.5430 | 0.0126 | 8.8832 | 0.1126 |
| 24 | 9.8497 | 0.1015 | 88.4973 | 0.0113 | 8.9847 | 0.1113 |
| 25 | 10.8347 | 0.0923 | 98.3471 | 0.0102 | 9.0770 | 0.1102 |


|  | $(1+i)^{n}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{7}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{2}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $\boldsymbol{i}=11 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1100 | 0.9009 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.9009 | 1.1100 |
| 2 | 1.2321 | 0.8116 | 2.1100 | 0.4739 | 1.7125 | 0.5839 |
| 3 | 1.3676 | 0.7312 | 3.3421 | 0.2992 | 2.4437 | 0.4092 |
| 4 | 1.5181 | 0.6587 | 4.7097 | 0.2123 | 3.1024 | 0.3223 |
| 5 | 1.6851 | 0.5935 | 6.2278 | 0.1606 | 3.6959 | 0.2706 |
| 6 | 1.8704 | 0.5346 | 7.9129 | 0.1264 | 4.2305 | 0.2364 |
| 7 | 2.0762 | 0.4817 | 9.7833 | 0.1022 | 4.7122 | 0.2122 |
| 8 | 2.3045 | 0.4339 | 11.8594 | 0.0843 | 5.1461 | 0.1943 |
| 9 | 2.5580 | 0.3909 | 14.1640 | 0.0706 | 5.5370 | 0.1806 |
| 10 | 2.8394 | 0.3522 | 16.7220 | 0.0598 | 5.8892 | 0.1698 |
| 11 | 3.1518 | 0.3173 | 19.5614 | 0.0511 | 6.2065 | 0.1611 |
| 12 | 3.4985 | 0.2858 | 22.7132 | 0.0440 | 6.4924 | 0.1540 |
| 13 | 3.8833 | 0.2575 | 26.2116 | 0.0382 | 6.7499 | 0.1482 |
| 14 | 4.3104 | 0.2320 | 30.0949 | 0.0332 | 6.9819 | 0.1432 |
| 15 | 4.7846 | 0.2090 | 34.4054 | 0.0291 | 7.1909 | 0.1391 |
| 16 | 5.3109 | 0.1883 | 39.1899 | 0.0255 | 7.3792 | 0.1355 |
| 17 | 5.8951 | 0.1696 | 44.5008 | 0.0225 | 7.5488 | 0.1325 |
| 18 | 6.5436 | 0.1528 | 50.3959 | 0.0198 | 7.7016 | 0.1298 |
| 19 | 7.2633 | 0.1377 | 56.9395 | 0.0176 | 7.8393 | 0.1276 |
| 20 | 8.0623 | 0.1240 | 64.2028 | 0.0156 | 7.9633 | 0.1256 |
| 21 | 8.9492 | 0.1117 | 72.2651 | 0.0138 | 8.0751 | 0.1238 |
| 22 | 9.9336 | 0.1007 | 81.2143 | 0.0123 | 8.1757 | 0.1223 |
| 23 | 11.0263 | 0.0907 | 91.1479 | 0.0110 | 8.2664 | 0.1210 |
| 24 | 12.2392 | 0.0817 | 102.1742 | 0.0098 | 8.3481 | 0.1198 |
| 25 | 13.5855 | 0.0736 | 114.4133 | 0.0087 | 8.4217 | 0.1187 |
| $n$ | $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1200 | 0.8929 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8929 | 1.1200 |
| 2 | 1.2544 | 0.7972 | 2.1200 | 0.4717 | 1.6901 | 0.5917 |
| 3 | 1.4049 | 0.7118 | 3.3744 | 0.2963 | 2.4018 | 0.4163 |
| 4 | 1.5735 | 0.6355 | 4.7793 | 0.2092 | 3.0373 | 0.3292 |
| 5 | 1.7623 | 0.5674 | 6.3528 | 0.1574 | 3.6048 | 0.2774 |
| 6 | 1.9738 | 0.5066 | 8.1152 | 0.1232 | 4.1114 | 0.2432 |
| 7 | 2.2107 | 0.4523 | 10.0890 | 0.0991 | 4.5638 | 0.2191 |
| 8 | 2.4760 | 0.4039 | 12.2997 | 0.0813 | 4.9676 | 0.2013 |
| 9 | 2.7731 | 0.3606 | 14.7757 | 0.0677 | 5.3282 | 0.1877 |
| 10 | 3.1058 | 0.3220 | 17.5487 | 0.0570 | 5.6502 | 0.1770 |
| 11 | 3.4785 | 0.2875 | 20.6546 | 0.0484 | 5.9377 | 0.1684 |
| 12 | 3.8960 | 0.2567 | 24.1331 | 0.0414 | 6.1944 | 0.1614 |
| 13 | 4.3635 | 0.2292 | 28.0291 | 0.0357 | 6.4235 | 0.1557 |
| 14 | 4.8871 | 0.2046 | 32.3926 | 0.0309 | 6.6282 | 0.1509 |
| 15 | 5.4736 | 0.1827 | 37.2797 | 0.0268 | 6.8109 | 0.1468 |
| 16 | 6.1304 | 0.1631 | 42.7533 | 0.0234 | 6.9740 | 0.1434 |
| 17 | 6.8660 | 0.1456 | 48.8837 | 0.0205 | 7.1196 | 0.1405 |
| 18 | 7.6900 | 0.1300 | 55.7497 | 0.0179 | 7.2497 | 0.1379 |
| 19 | 8.6128 | 0.1161 | 63.4397 | 0.0158 | 7.3658 | 0.1358 |
| 20 | 9.6463 | 0.1037 | 72.0524 | 0.0139 | 7.4694 | 0.1339 |
| 21 | 10.8038 | 0.0926 | 81.6987 | 0.0122 | 7.5620 | 0.1322 |
| 22 | 12.1003 | 0.0826 | 92.5026 | 0.0108 | 7.6446 | 0.1308 |
| 23 | 13.5523 | 0.0738 | 104.6029 | 0.0096 | 7.7184 | 0.1296 |
| 24 | 15.1786 | 0.0659 | 118.1552 | 0.0085 | 7.7843 | 0.1285 |
| 25 | 17.0001 | 0.0588 | 133.3339 | 0.0075 | 7.8431 | 0.1275 |


|  | $(1+i)^{n}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{4}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{2}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i(1+i)^{2}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $\boldsymbol{i}=13 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1300 | 0.8850 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8850 | 1.1300 |
| 2 | 1.2769 | 0.7831 | 2.1300 | 0.4695 | 1.6681 | 0.5995 |
| 3 | 1.4429 | 0.6931 | 3.4069 | 0.2935 | 2.3612 | 0.4235 |
| 4 | 1.6305 | 0.6133 | 4.8498 | 0.2062 | 2.9745 | 0.3362 |
| 5 | 1.8424 | 0.5428 | 6.4803 | 0.1543 | 3.5172 | 0.2843 |
| 6 | 2.0820 | 0.4803 | 8.3227 | 0.1202 | 3.9975 | 0.2502 |
| 7 | 2.3526 | 0.4251 | 10.4047 | 0.0961 | 4.4226 | 0.2261 |
| 8 | 2.6584 | 0.3762 | 12.7573 | 0.0784 | 4.7988 | 0.2084 |
| 9 | 3.0040 | 0.3329 | 15.4157 | 0.0649 | 5.1317 | 0.1949 |
| 10 | 3.3946 | 0.2946 | 18.4197 | 0.0543 | 5.4262 | 0.1843 |
| 11 | 3.8359 | 0.2607 | 21.8143 | 0.0458 | 5.6869 | 0.1758 |
| 12 | 4.3345 | 0.2307 | 25.6502 | 0.0390 | 5.9176 | 0.1690 |
| 13 | 4.8980 | 0.2042 | 29.9847 | 0.0334 | 6.1218 | 0.1634 |
| 14 | 5.5348 | 0.1807 | 34.8827 | 0.0287 | 6.3025 | 0.1587 |
| 15 | 6.2543 | 0.1599 | 40.4175 | 0.0247 | 6.4624 | 0.1547 |
| 16 | 7.0673 | 0.1415 | 46.6717 | 0.0214 | 6.6039 | 0.1514 |
| 17 | 7.9861 | 0.1252 | 53.7391 | 0.0186 | 6.7291 | 0.1486 |
| 18 | 9.0243 | 0.1108 | 61.7251 | 0.0162 | 6.8399 | 0.1462 |
| 19 | 10.1974 | 0.0981 | 70.7494 | 0.0141 | 6.9380 | 0.1441 |
| 20 | 11.5231 | 0.0868 | 80.9468 | 0.0124 | 7.0248 | 0.1424 |
| 21 | 13.0211 | 0.0768 | 92.4699 | 0.0108 | 7.1016 | 0.1408 |
| 22 | 14.7138 | 0.0680 | 105.4910 | 0.0095 | 7.1695 | 0.1395 |
| 23 | 16.6266 | 0.0601 | 120.2048 | 0.0083 | 7.2297 | 0.1383 |
| 24 | 18.7881 | 0.0532 | 136.8315 | 0.0073 | 7.2829 | 0.1373 |
| 25 | 21.2305 | 0.0471 | 155.6196 | 0.0064 | 7.3300 | 0.1364 |
| $n$ | $i=14 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1400 | 0.8772 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8772 | 1.1400 |
| 2 | 1.2996 | 0.7695 | 2.1400 | 0.4673 | 1.6467 | 0.6073 |
| 3 | 1.4815 | 0.6750 | 3.4396 | 0.2907 | 2.3216 | 0.4307 |
| 4 | 1.6890 | 0.5921 | 4.9211 | 0.2032 | 2.9137 | 0.3432 |
| 5 | 1.9254 | 0.5194 | 6.6101 | 0.1513 | 3.4331 | 0.2913 |
| 6 | 2.1950 | 0.4556 | 8.5355 | 0.1172 | 3.8887 | 0.2572 |
| 7 | 2.5023 | 0.3996 | 10.7305 | 0.0932 | 4.2883 | 0.2332 |
| 8 | 2.8526 | 0.3506 | 13.2328 | 0.0756 | 4.6389 | 0.2156 |
| 9 | 3.2519 | 0.3075 | 16.0853 | 0.0622 | 4.9464 | 0.2022 |
| 10 | 3.7072 | 0.2697 | 19.3373 | 0.0517 | 5.2161 | 0.1917 |
| 11 | 4.2262 | 0.2366 | 23.0445 | 0.0434 | 5.4527 | 0.1834 |
| 12 | 4.8179 | 0.2076 | 27.2707 | 0.0367 | 5.6603 | 0.1767 |
| 13 | 5.4924 | 0.1821 | 32.0887 | 0.0312 | 5.8424 | 0.1712 |
| 14 | 6.2613 | 0.1597 | 37.5811 | 0.0266 | 6.0021 | 0.1666 |
| 15 | 7.1379 | 0.1401 | 43.8424 | 0.0228 | 6.1422 | 0.1628 |
| 16 | 8.1372 | 0.1229 | 50.9804 | 0.0196 | 6.2651 | 0.1596 |
| 17 | 9.2765 | 0.1078 | 59.1176 | 0.0169 | 6.3729 | 0.1569 |
| 18 | 10.5752 | 0.0946 | 68.3941 | 0.0146 | 6.4674 | 0.1546 |
| 19 | 12.0557 | 0.0829 | 78.9692 | 0.0127 | 6.5504 | 0.1527 |
| 20 | 13.7435 | 0.0728 | 91.0249 | 0.0110 | 6.6231 | 0.1510 |
| 21 | 15.6676 | 0.0638 | 104.7684 | 0.0095 | 6.6870 | 0.1495 |
| 22 | 17.8610 | 0.0560 | 120.4360 | 0.0083 | 6.7429 | 0.1483 |
| 23 | 20.3616 | 0.0491 | 138.2970 | 0.0072 | 6.7921 | 0.1472 |
| 24 | 23.2122 | 0.0431 | 158.6586 | 0.0063 | 6.8351 | 0.1463 |
| 25 | 26.4619 | 0.0378 | 181.8708 | 0.0055 | 6.8729 | 0.1455 |


|  | $(1+i)^{7}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{-}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{2}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i(1+i)^{\pi}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i=15 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1500 | 0.8696 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8696 | 1.1500 |
| 2 | 1.3225 | 0.7561 | 2.1500 | 0.4651 | 1.6257 | 0.6151 |
| 3 | 1.5209 | 0.6575 | 3.4725 | 0.2880 | 2.2832 | 0.4380 |
| 4 | 1.7490 | 0.5718 | 4.9934 | 0.2003 | 2.8550 | 0.3503 |
| 5 | 2.0114 | 0.4972 | 6.7424 | 0.1483 | 3.3522 | 0.2983 |
| 6 | 2.3131 | 0.4323 | 8.7537 | 0.1142 | 3.7845 | 0.2642 |
| 7 | 2.6600 | 0.3759 | 11.0668 | 0.0904 | 4.1604 | 0.2404 |
| 8 | 3.0590 | 0.3269 | 13.7268 | 0.0729 | 4.4873 | 0.2229 |
| 9 | 3.5179 | 0.2843 | 16.7858 | 0.0596 | 4.7716 | 0.2096 |
| 10 | 4.0456 | 0.2472 | 20.3037 | 0.0493 | 5.0188 | 0.1993 |
| 11 | 4.6524 | 0.2149 | 24.3493 | 0.0411 | 5.2337 | 0.1911 |
| 12 | 5.3503 | 0.1869 | 29.0017 | 0.0345 | 5.4206 | 0.1845 |
| 13 | 6.1528 | 0.1625 | 34.3519 | 0.0291 | 5.5831 | 0.1791 |
| 14 | 7.0757 | 0.1413 | 40.5047 | 0.0247 | 5.7245 | 0.1747 |
| 15 | 8.1371 | 0.1229 | 47.5804 | 0.0210 | 5.8474 | 0.1710 |
| 16 | 9.3576 | 0.1069 | 55.7175 | 0.0179 | 5.9542 | 0.1679 |
| 17 | 10.7613 | 0.0929 | 65.0751 | 0.0154 | 6.0472 | 0.1654 |
| 18 | 12.3755 | 0.0808 | 75.8364 | 0.0132 | 6.1280 | 0.1632 |
| 19 | 14.2318 | 0.0703 | 88.2118 | 0.0113 | 6.1982 | 0.1613 |
| 20 | 16.3665 | 0.0611 | 102.4436 | 0.0098 | 6.2593 | 0.1598 |
| 21 | 18.8215 | 0.0531 | 118.8101 | 0.0084 | 6.3125 | 0.1584 |
| 22 | 21.6447 | 0.0462 | 137.6316 | 0.0073 | 6.3587 | 0.1573 |
| 23 | 24.8915 | 0.0402 | 159.2764 | 0.0063 | 6.3988 | 0.1563 |
| 24 | 28.6252 | 0.0349 | 184.1678 | 0.0054 | 6.4338 | 0.1554 |
| 25 | 32.9190 | 0.0304 | 212.7930 | 0.0047 | 6.4641 | 0.1547 |
| $n$ | $i=16 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1600 | 0.8621 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8621 | 1.1600 |
| 2 | 1.3456 | 0.7432 | 2.1600 | 0.4630 | 1.6052 | 0.6230 |
| 3 | 1.5609 | 0.6407 | 3.5056 | 0.2853 | 2.2459 | 0.4453 |
| 4 | 1.8106 | 0.5523 | 5.0665 | 0.1974 | 2.7982 | 0.3574 |
| 5 | 2.1003 | 0.4761 | 6.8771 | 0.1454 | 3.2743 | 0.3054 |
| 6 | 2.4364 | 0.4104 | 8.9775 | 0.1114 | 3.6847 | 0.2714 |
| 7 | 2.8262 | 0.3538 | 11.4139 | 0.0876 | 4.0386 | 0.2476 |
| 8 | 3.2784 | 0.3050 | 14.2401 | 0.0702 | 4.3436 | 0.2302 |
| 9 | 3.8030 | 0.2630 | 17.5185 | 0.0571 | 4.6065 | 0.2171 |
| 10 | 4.4114 | 0.2267 | 21.3215 | 0.0469 | 4.8332 | 0.2069 |
| 11 | 5.1173 | 0.1954 | 25.7329 | 0.0389 | 5.0286 | 0.1989 |
| 12 | 5.9360 | 0.1685 | 30.8502 | 0.0324 | 5.1971 | 0.1924 |
| 13 | 6.8858 | 0.1452 | 36.7862 | 0.0272 | 5.3423 | 0.1872 |
| 14 | 7.9875 | 0.1252 | 43.6720 | 0.0229 | 5.4675 | 0.1829 |
| 15 | 9.2655 | 0.1079 | 51.6595 | 0.0194 | 5.5755 | 0.1794 |
| 16 | 10.7480 | 0.0930 | 60.9250 | 0.0164 | 5.6685 | 0.1764 |
| 17 | 12.4677 | 0.0802 | 71.6730 | 0.0140 | 5.7487 | 0.1740 |
| 18 | 14.4625 | 0.0691 | 84.1407 | 0.0119 | 5.8178 | 0.1719 |
| 19 | 16.7765 | 0.0596 | 98.6032 | 0.0101 | 5.8775 | 0.1701 |
| 20 | 19.4608 | 0.0514 | 115.3797 | 0.0087 | 5.9288 | 0.1687 |
| 21 | 22.5745 | 0.0443 | 134.8405 | 0.0074 | 5.9731 | 0.1674 |
| 22 | 26.1864 | 0.0382 | 157.4150 | 0.0064 | 6.0113 | 0.1664 |
| 23 | 30.3762 | 0.0329 | 183.6014 | 0.0054 | 6.0442 | 0.1654 |
| 24 | 35.2364 | 0.0284 | 213.9776 | 0.0047 | 6.0726 | 0.1647 |
| 25 | 40.8742 | 0.0245 | 249.2140 | 0.0040 | 6.0971 | 0.1640 |


|  | $(1+i)^{n}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{-\pi}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i(1+i)^{3}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{\pi}}{(1+i)^{\pi}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i=17 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1700 | 0.8547 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8547 | 1.1700 |
| 2 | 1.3689 | 0.7305 | 2.1700 | 0.4608 | 1.5852 | 0.6308 |
| 3 | 1.6016 | 0.6244 | 3.5389 | 0.2826 | 2.2096 | 0.4526 |
| 4 | 1.8739 | 0.5337 | 5.1405 | 0.1945 | 2.7432 | 0.3645 |
| 5 | 2.1924 | 0.4561 | 7.0144 | 0.1426 | 3.1993 | 0.3126 |
| 6 | 2.5652 | 0.3898 | 9.2068 | 0.1086 | 3.5892 | 0.2786 |
| 7 | 3.0012 | 0.3332 | 11.7720 | 0.0849 | 3.9224 | 0.2549 |
| 8 | 3.5115 | 0.2848 | 14.7733 | 0.0677 | 4.2072 | 0.2377 |
| 9 | 4.1084 | 0.2434 | 18.2847 | 0.0547 | 4.4506 | 0.2247 |
| 10 | 4.8068 | 0.2080 | 22.3931 | 0.0447 | 4.6586 | 0.2147 |
| 11 | 5.6240 | 0.1778 | 27.1999 | 0.0368 | 4.8364 | 0.2068 |
| 12 | 6.5801 | 0.1520 | 32.8239 | 0.0305 | 4.9884 | 0.2005 |
| 13 | 7.6987 | 0.1299 | 39.4040 | 0.0254 | 5.1183 | 0.1954 |
| 14 | 9.0075 | 0.1110 | 47.1027 | 0.0212 | 5.2293 | 0.1912 |
| 15 | 10.5387 | 0.0949 | 56.1101 | 0.0178 | 5.3242 | 0.1878 |
| 16 | 12.3303 | 0.0811 | 66.6488 | 0.0150 | 5.4053 | 0.1850 |
| 17 | 14.4265 | 0.0693 | 78.9792 | 0.0127 | 5.4746 | 0.1827 |
| 18 | 16.8790 | 0.0592 | 93.4056 | 0.0107 | 5.5339 | 0.1807 |
| 19 | 19.7484 | 0.0506 | 110.2846 | 0.0091 | 5.5845 | 0.1791 |
| 20 | 23.1056 | 0.0433 | 130.0329 | 0.0077 | 5.6278 | 0.1777 |
| 21 | 27.0336 | 0.0370 | 153.1385 | 0.0065 | 5.6648 | 0.1765 |
| 22 | 31.6293 | 0.0316 | 180.1721 | 0.0056 | 5.6964 | 0.1756 |
| 23 | 37.0062 | 0.0270 | 211.8013 | 0.0047 | 5.7234 | 0.1747 |
| 24 | 43.2973 | 0.0231 | 248.8076 | 0.0040 | 5.7465 | 0.1740 |
| 25 | 50.6578 | 0.0197 | 292.1049 | 0.0034 | 5.7662 | 0.1734 |
| $n$ | $i=18 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1800 | 0.8475 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8475 | 1.1800 |
| 2 | 1.3924 | 0.7182 | 2.1800 | 0.4587 | 1.5656 | 0.6387 |
| 3 | 1.6430 | 0.6086 | 3.5724 | 0.2799 | 2.1743 | 0.4599 |
| 4 | 1.9388 | 0.5158 | 5.2154 | 0.1917 | 2.6901 | 0.3717 |
| 5 | 2.2878 | 0.4371 | 7.1542 | 0.1398 | 3.1272 | 0.3198 |
| 6 | 2.6996 | 0.3704 | 9.4420 | 0.1059 | 3.4976 | 0.2859 |
| 7 | 3.1855 | 0.3139 | 12.1415 | 0.0824 | 3.8115 | 0.2624 |
| 8 | 3.7589 | 0.2660 | 15.3270 | 0.0652 | 4.0776 | 0.2452 |
| 9 | 4.4355 | 0.2255 | 19.0859 | 0.0524 | 4.3030 | 0.2324 |
| 10 | 5.2338 | 0.1911 | 23.5213 | 0.0425 | 4.4941 | 0.2225 |
| 11 | 6.1759 | 0.1619 | 28.7551 | 0.0348 | 4.6560 | 0.2148 |
| 12 | 7.2876 | 0.1372 | 34.9311 | 0.0286 | 4.7932 | 0.2086 |
| 13 | 8.5994 | 0.1163 | 42.2187 | 0.0237 | 4.9095 | 0.2037 |
| 14 | 10.1472 | 0.0985 | 50.8180 | 0.0197 | 5.0081 | 0.1997 |
| 15 | 11.9737 | 0.0835 | 60.9653 | 0.0164 | 5.0916 | 0.1964 |
| 16 | 14.1290 | 0.0708 | 72.9390 | 0.0137 | 5.1624 | 0.1937 |
| 17 | 16.6722 | 0.0600 | 87.0680 | 0.0115 | 5.2223 | 0.1915 |
| 18 | 19.6733 | 0.0508 | 103.7403 | 0.0096 | 5.2732 | 0.1896 |
| 19 | 23.2144 | 0.0431 | 123.4135 | 0.0081 | 5.3162 | 0.1881 |
| 20 | 27.3930 | 0.0365 | 146.6280 | 0.0068 | 5.3527 | 0.1868 |
| 21 | 32.3238 | 0.0309 | 174.0210 | 0.0057 | 5.3837 | 0.1857 |
| 22 | 38.1421 | 0.0262 | 206.3448 | 0.0048 | 5.4099 | 0.1848 |
| 23 | 45.0076 | 0.0222 | 244.4868 | 0.0041 | 5.4321 | 0.1841 |
| 24 | 53.1090 | 0.0188 | 289.4945 | 0.0035 | 5.4509 | 0.1835 |
| 25 | 62.6686 | 0.0160 | 342.6035 | 0.0029 | 5.4669 | 0.1829 |


|  | $(1+i)^{n}$ | $\frac{1}{(1+i)^{n}}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{n}-1}{i}$ | $\frac{i}{(1+i)^{2}-1}$ | $\frac{(1+i)^{2}-1}{i(1+i)^{2}}$ | $\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $i=19 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1900 | 0.8403 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8403 | 1.1900 |
| 2 | 1.4161 | 0.7062 | 2.1900 | 0.4566 | 1.5465 | 0.6466 |
| 3 | 1.6852 | 0.5934 | 3.6061 | 0.2773 | 2.1399 | 0.4673 |
| 4 | 2.0053 | 0.4987 | 5.2913 | 0.1890 | 2.6386 | 0.3790 |
| 5 | 2.3864 | 0.4190 | 7.2966 | 0.1371 | 3.0576 | 0.3271 |
| 6 | 2.8398 | 0.3521 | 9.6830 | 0.1033 | 3.4098 | 0.2933 |
| 7 | 3.3793 | 0.2959 | 12.5227 | 0.0799 | 3.7057 | 0.2699 |
| 8 | 4.0214 | 0.2487 | 15.9020 | 0.0629 | 3.9544 | 0.2529 |
| 9 | 4.7854 | 0.2090 | 19.9234 | 0.0502 | 4.1633 | 0.2402 |
| 10 | 5.6947 | 0.1756 | 24.7089 | 0.0405 | 4.3389 | 0.2305 |
| 11 | 6.7767 | 0.1476 | 30.4035 | 0.0329 | 4.4865 | 0.2229 |
| 12 | 8.0642 | 0.1240 | 37.1802 | 0.0269 | 4.6105 | 0.2169 |
| 13 | 9.5964 | 0.1042 | 45.2445 | 0.0221 | 4.7147 | 0.2121 |
| 14 | 11.4198 | 0.0876 | 54.8409 | 0.0182 | 4.8023 | 0.2082 |
| 15 | 13.5895 | 0.0736 | 66.2607 | 0.0151 | 4.8759 | 0.2051 |
| 16 | 16.1715 | 0.0618 | 79.8502 | 0.0125 | 4.9377 | 0.2025 |
| 17 | 19.2441 | 0.0520 | 96.0218 | 0.0104 | 4.9897 | 0.2004 |
| 18 | 22.9005 | 0.0437 | 115.2659 | 0.0087 | 5.0333 | 0.1987 |
| 19 | 27.2516 | 0.0367 | 138.1664 | 0.0072 | 5.0700 | 0.1972 |
| 20 | 32.4294 | 0.0308 | 165.4180 | 0.0060 | 5.1009 | 0.1960 |
| 21 | 38.5910 | 0.0259 | 197.8474 | 0.0051 | 5.1268 | 0.1951 |
| 22 | 45.9233 | 0.0218 | 236.4385 | 0.0042 | 5.1486 | 0.1942 |
| 23 | 54.6487 | 0.0183 | 282.3618 | 0.0035 | 5.1668 | 0.1935 |
| 24 | 65.0320 | 0.0154 | 337.0105 | 0.0030 | 5.1822 | 0.1930 |
| 25 | 77.3881 | 0.0129 | 402.0425 | 0.0025 | 5.1951 | 0.1925 |
| $n$ | $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.2000 | 0.8333 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8333 | 1.2000 |
| 2 | 1.4400 | 0.6944 | 2.2000 | 0.4545 | 1.5278 | 0.6545 |
| 3 | 1.7280 | 0.5787 | 3.6400 | 0.2747 | 2.1065 | 0.4747 |
| 4 | 2.0736 | 0.4823 | 5.3680 | 0.1863 | 2.5887 | 0.3863 |
| 5 | 2.4883 | 0.4019 | 7.4416 | 0.1344 | 2.9906 | 0.3344 |
| 6 | 2.9860 | 0.3349 | 9.9299 | 0.1007 | 3.3255 | 0.3007 |
| 7 | 3.5832 | 0.2791 | 12.9159 | 0.0774 | 3.6046 | 0.2774 |
| 8 | 4.2998 | 0.2326 | 16.4991 | 0.0606 | 3.8372 | 0.2606 |
| 9 | 5.1598 | 0.1938 | 20.7989 | 0.0481 | 4.0310 | 0.2481 |
| 10 | 6.1917 | 0.1615 | 25.9587 | 0.0385 | 4.1925 | 0.2385 |
| 11 | 7.4301 | 0.1346 | 32.1504 | 0.0311 | 4.3271 | 0.2311 |
| 12 | 8.9161 | 0.1122 | 39.5805 | 0.0253 | 4.4392 | 0.2253 |
| 13 | 10.6993 | 0.0935 | 48.4966 | 0.0206 | 4.5327 | 0.2206 |
| 14 | 12.8392 | 0.0779 | 59.1959 | 0.0169 | 4.6106 | 0.2169 |
| 15 | 15.4070 | 0.0649 | 72.0351 | 0.0139 | 4.6755 | 0.2139 |
| 16 | 18.4884 | 0.0541 | 87.4421 | 0.0114 | 4.7296 | 0.2114 |
| 17 | 22.1861 | 0.0451 | 105.9306 | 0.0094 | 4.7746 | 0.2094 |
| 18 | 26.6233 | 0.0376 | 128.1167 | 0.0078 | 4.8122 | 0.2078 |
| 19 | 31.9480 | 0.0313 | 154.7400 | 0.0065 | 4.8435 | 0.2065 |
| 20 | 38.3376 | 0.0261 | 186.6880 | 0.0054 | 4.8696 | 0.2054 |
| 21 | 46.0051 | 0.0217 | 225.0256 | 0.0044 | 4.8913 | 0.2044 |
| 22 | 55.2061 | 0.0181 | 271.0307 | 0.0037 | 4.9094 | 0.2037 |
| 23 | 66.2474 | 0.0151 | 326.2369 | 0.0031 | 4.9245 | 0.2031 |
| 24 | 79.4968 | 0.0126 | 392.4842 | 0.0025 | 4.9371 | 0.2025 |
| 25 | 95.3962 | 0.0105 | 471.9811 | 0.0021 | 4.9476 | 0.2021 |

## 9 Endnotes

1 (Price/unit $\times$ Units sold in $1^{\text {st }}$ year) $/ 12=(\$ 100 \times 105,000) / 12=\$ 875,000$
$2($ Cost of raw material/unit $\times$ Units sold) $/ 12=(\$ 20 \times 105,000) / 12=\$ 175,000$
30.5 month of finished goods $=0.5 \times \$ 380,000=\$ 190,000$
$4 \quad($ Variable cost/unit $\times$ Units sold) $/ 12=(\$ 43.33 \times 105,000) / 12=\$ 380,000$
5 Similar to Endnote 2.


[^0]:    Download free eBooks at bookboon.com

[^1]:    Download free eBooks at bookboon.com

[^2]:    Download free eBooks at bookboon.com

[^3]:    Download free eBooks at bookboon.com

